

Present: –

Justice Girish Chandra Gupta,

Chairperson

File number 581/25/25/2020

This is an instance of ready-made justice rendered by the police besides projecting a picture what the police looks like within the four walls of the police station including monstrous behaviour to the men, women and children alike. The assailants and the assailed both went to the police station for airing their grievances in the forenoon. Both the groups were put behind the bars and kept in the custody till after the midnight. The woman was sexually harassed and ridiculed. Her minor son was thrashed. Both the mother and son though not put up in the lock-up were also detained. The officers who were deputed to make an enquiry into the matter reported that the concerned assistant sub-inspector had done an excellent job.

A complaint<sup>1</sup> dated 16<sup>th</sup> October 2019 made by Smt Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit was received by the commission on 19<sup>th</sup> December 2019. The sum and substance of the complaint shorn of details was as follows: –

The Banerjees of Canal Par forcibly entered into the house of the petitioner; physically assaulted and abused her; manhandled and groped her; outraged her modesty; called her names and threatened to drive away her entire family from the state including dire consequences if she tried to inform the matter to the authorities.

Traumatized by the incident the petitioner with all her family members approached the Kanksa police station.

She reached the police station at about 11 AM in the morning of 13<sup>th</sup> October 2019 and informed the matter to the duty officer Manas Dolui.

Instead of assisting them or taking necessary steps, he started cracking sexually coloured jokes; taunted her with abusive language; laughed in his highest possible pitch; body shamed her; threw uncalled for, unacceptable, derogatory, sexually coloured gesture and asked her for sexual favour.

Her dignity was tarnished; she herself, her minor son and her husband were detained from 11 AM to late-night till 1.00 hour of 14/10/2019.

Her husband was put into the lock-up and abused in most filthy language.

When the husband of the petitioner was put into the lock-up the child, son of the petitioner, started crying. The ASI thrashed him in his head after throwing him on the ground; showered upon him choicest slangs including that he was an illicit child.

---

<sup>1</sup> at page-10

After all his tantrum he tried to calm down the scared and traumatised child of the petitioner by offering him a chocolate.

The petitioner prayed for steps in accordance with law both against the assailants and the concerned ASI.

The ASI Manas Dolui, it subsequently transpired had not recorded any complaint of the petitioner. On the contrary he appeared to have leisurely started proceedings dated 16 October 2019, on the basis of GD entry<sup>2</sup> number 547 dated 13 October 2019 and GD entry<sup>3</sup> number 645 dated 16 October 2019, under section 107/116 ( 3) CRPC against the petitioner's husband, her landlord and another showing Ashit Banerjee as the complainant. Similarly another identical proceeding based on the general diary entry number 645 also dated 16<sup>th</sup> of October 2019 and general diary<sup>4</sup> entry number 546 dated 13<sup>th</sup> of October 2019 against Ashit Banerjee, Sukumar Banerjee and Muktidhar Banerjee was started showing the petitioner's landlord as the complainant. A fuller discussion in this regard shall be made hereafter.

On 30 April 2020 an order<sup>5</sup> was passed by the chairperson calling for a report from the CP Asansol-Durgapur police commissionerate within six weeks from the date of service of the order.

Photo copy of a report<sup>6</sup> dated 10 August 2020 prepared by Ms Saswati Sweta Samanta, ACP, Kanksa was communicated by the CP ASN-DGP under the cover of his letter dated 1 December 2020 from which the ACP appeared to have made the following observations: –

“Both the groups got involved in hot altercation with a riotous mood and abused each other in presence of police at the Kanksa PS compound. Duty officer ASI Manas Dolui and others tried to stop this kind of activities, but both parties did not pay any heed of them.

Then inspector in charge, Kanksa PS intervened promptly and finally as per order

ASI Manas Kumar Dolui arrested the male members of the both parties under section 151 CRPC to prevent any cognizable offence after maintaining all formalities of arrest.

The police tried to disperse the female members of both parties with the help of lady police but they continued to come back to the duty officer seeking release of their relatives.

It was not possible to release them because they were in a very angry and aggressive mood.

After few hours when the parties calmed down they were released on the condition that both parties shall maintain peace and tranquillity in the locality.

---

<sup>2</sup> at page – 27

<sup>3</sup> at pages –30-31

<sup>4</sup> at page – 27

<sup>5</sup> at page – 109

<sup>6</sup> at page – 19

No female person was detained or arrested.

Swaroop, Pampa and Najibul being the police personnel confirmed aggressive nature of both the parties inside the compound of the police station. They also confirmed that after the male members were arrested the female members denied to return and continued to demand the release of their relatives.

Both the parties are known as quarrelsome and trouble mongers in the locality.

After enquiry ASI Manas Dolui submitted prosecution against both the petitioner and the OP members being NCR<sup>7</sup> number 704 and 705 both dated 16<sup>th</sup> of October 2019 to maintain peace and tranquillity.

In the course of the enquiry it is clear that Kanksa police maintained all the possible measures to handle the incident sensibly like by arresting the male members on preventive basis, asking the female members to disperse from the PS compound and offering chocolate to the petitioner's minor son who was there throughout in the PS. Hence the allegation made by the petitioner against ASI Manas Kumar Dolui of Kanksa PS is not substantiated"

The matter was placed before the single bench of the chairperson who passed an order<sup>8</sup> dated 26 August 2021 observing that prima facie the ACP and the concerned police officer appear to have grossly violated the human rights of both the parties and unless proper explanation was furnished they were liable to be proceeded against.

Under the cover of photo copy of a letter dated 20/09/2021 the CP ASN-DGP, photo copy of a fresh report<sup>9</sup> dated 17 September 2021 prepared by Mr Srimanta Bandopadhyay was submitted, which was replaced by the originals thereof received by this office on 23 September 2021, containing the following fresh observations besides reiterating the observations of Ms Samanta: –

"Inspector in charge Kanksa police station intervened but both sides again disobeyed the police administration intentionally

which was very unusual and unexpected from the end of the two families also out of trend."

General diary entry<sup>10</sup> number 549 appearing to have been recorded at 16:15 hours of 13<sup>th</sup> October 2019, has been disclosed stating alleged necessity to arrest the six accused persons including the petitioner's husband.

Annexure D to his report is a GD entry<sup>11</sup> number 559 about the surety for bail to the six persons including Petitioner's husband; Annexure E are the NCRs and annexure F contains

---

<sup>7</sup> at pages – 30-31

<sup>8</sup> at page – 21

<sup>9</sup> at page – 24

<sup>10</sup> at page – 28

unreadable photocopies of the orders passed by the court. Annexure G is a write up<sup>12</sup> obtained from the husband of the petitioner for the purpose of proving, according to him, that the activities of the police was even according to the husband of the petitioner “justified police action” whereas the write-up contains “it is true that on that day the GD officer Mr Manas Dolui grossly misbehaved with us ( including my wife) and without disclosing any reason I was put up in the lock-up. His conduct caused us severe mental agony.” Mr .Bandopadhyay during his examination also admitted that “any certificate or attestation of the activity of the police by any sufferer made to the police is of no significance in law. I knew this when I obtained the statement from the husband of the petitioner.” But the significant fact is nonetheless he obtained the statement.

An order<sup>13</sup> dated 21 September 2021 was passed by the chairperson directing the CP to produce the original documents for inspection on 4 October 2021. The concerned ASI and the concerned ACP who prepared the report dated 10 August 2020 and 17 September 2021 were directed to appear for examination on 25 October 2021 . The order was communicated by email. On 25 October 2021 ACP Srimanta Bandopadhyay and ASI Manas Dolui appeared but Ms Samanta did not appear. The hearing was postponed till 1 November 2021. When the matter was taken up on 1<sup>st</sup> Nov 2021 it transpired that the original GD entry relied upon had not been disclosed. It was in the circumstances clarified<sup>14</sup> that “unless the original is produced the copies cannot be taken into consideration. On the top of that an adverse inference may be drawn.” Mr Bandopadhyay prayed for an opportunity to produce the original GD books. Accordingly time was given till 3 November 2021 and the hearing was fixed on 8 November 2021. All the officers including Ms Samanta were directed to appear. It was made clear that in default the proceedings shall be held exparte.

The ACP Ms Samanta in her report dated 10 August 2020 did not notice any of the complaints of the petitioner; either against the Banerjees or against the ASI Manas Dolui ; the petitioner was not even heard far less examined by her still a clean chit was given to the ASI stating that “the allegations made by the petitioner against ASI Manas Kumar Dolui of Kanksa PS is not substantiated” The beating of the child was not noticed but offering of a chocolate was recorded in her report for no other reason than that the petitioner herself had admitted this fact in her complaint.

The case of the petitioner that they were illegally detained from 11 AM of 13 October 2019 to 1.00 AM of 14 October 2019 was sought to be justified on the basis of alleged examination of the constables on duty without disclosing any record whatsoever about the statement allegedly made by them or any documentary evidence to show that they were in fact present on the date of incident in the police station or any dependable piece of evidence to show that the alleged statement allegedly made by them bore any semblance of truth. She in her said report showered plaudits upon the ASI Manas Kumar Dolui by certifying that “in

---

<sup>11</sup> at page – 29

<sup>12</sup> at page – 40

<sup>13</sup> at page – 56

<sup>14</sup> at page – 102

the course of enquiry it is clear that Kanksa police maintained all the possible measures to handle the incident sensibly like by arresting the male members on preventive basis, asking the female members to disperse from the PS compound and offering chocolate to the petitioner's minor son who was there throughout in the PS."

The complaint of the petitioner that she was assaulted; sexually harassed by the Banerjees etc full particulars whereof have been stated above were brought to the notice of the ASI during his examination. He replied stating that "she did not make any complaint." When his attention was drawn to the deposition of Sri Ashis Mukerjee adduced in his examination in chief in MP number 1177/19 stating "I was telephonically informed that my tenant and their servants were assaulted by Asit Banerjee, Sukumar Banerjee and Muktidhar Banerjee. Immediately I informed the local police. When I was coming out of the market again I received a call from my tenant requesting me to send police because the Banerjees were continuing to assault them. I informed the police or sought to do so repeatedly. When I reached my house I found the main gate has been closed. The opposite party members were standing near the main gate and the manservant was crying. Thereafter I went to the police station and informed the incident. My tenant, his wife and the manservant Jaideep Bhagat also had gone there. We were detained till 1 o'clock in the morning ....." He admitted stating "that this was the complaint made to him." He added that this complaint was recorded by him in the GD entry. When the GD book was shown to him "he pointed out GD entry number 546." When he was asked to read the GD entry number 546 he admitted "it is true that I did not record that the Banerjees assaulted the tenant and his servants. The tenant is Rajpurohit."

The complaint of the petitioner against the ASI ManasDolui reads as follows "instead of assisting us and doing the needful, started cracking sexually coloured jokes, taunted with the abusive language and was laughing in his highest possible pitch. Besides using sexually coloured remarks also body shamed me with uncalled unacceptable, derogatory, sexually coloured gesture and asked me for sexual favour and this particular act has tarnished my dignity and has greatly hampered the sovereignty of my soul and further illegally detained me my son and my husband from 11 AM to late-night till 1.00 hours of 14/10/2019."

Ms. Samanta and Mr. Bandopadhyay both officers of the ACP rank conducted enquiry into the complaint of the petitioner but none of them spent a single word as regards any of the aforesaid acts of serious misconduct attributed to the ASI ManasDolui.

Ms. Samanta in her report dated 10 August 2020 used the admission of the petitioner that "after all these the officer tried to calm my scared and traumatised son with chocolate" for the purpose of recording a finding that "so it is absurd to say that the police has harassed her son". She misconducted herself in recording the aforesaid finding by omitting to notice that the child was scared and traumatised because he had been thrashed by the ASI. She further misconducted by omitting to notice; to enquire into the complaint of the petitioner about the sexual harassment committed by the ASI ManasDolui while discharging public function; and finally by recording a baseless finding that "the allegation made by the petitioner against ASI Manas Kumar Dolui of Kanksa police station is not substantiated."



Much the same was done by the ACP Mr. Bandopadhyay but the modus operandi adopted by him was slightly different. He claimed that “showing the human face Kanksa police offered water bottle, chocolate et cetera to the all female members and the minor son of the petitioner.” He has not disclosed any basis whatsoever for the finding recorded by him to the credit of the Kanksa police. He has in his report recorded that “Mrs Kahan Singh Rajpurohit has attested the police action .....” During his examination he admitted that “the recording that Mrs Kahan Singh Rajpurohit has attested the police action and also expressing .....” Is incorrect. I wanted to rely on the statement of her husband” he admitted that “even in that statement he has stated that “on that day GD officer Manas Dolui grossly misbehaved with my wife and put me in the lock-up without assigning any reason. We were both highly shocked and suffered immense mental agony.” Finally he admitted “it is true that I did not examine the ASI Manas Kumar Dolui as regards allegations against him”

During his examination<sup>15</sup> Mr Bandopadhyay admitted “there is nothing in my report to indicate that I examined the petitioner Smt Purohit who in her complaint alleged that she was assaulted by the Banerjees and her modesty was outraged inter alia by groping her. Her further complaint is against the Assistant sub Inspector Manas Dolui, in the police station, is more serious in nature. He allegedly went to the extent of asking for sexual favours. No part of this complaint has been reflected in my report. Her complaint that her minor son was thrashed by the ASI was also not noticed by me in my report.”

“MS Samanta in her report stated Constable Swarup Pal (C/848), Pampa Roy (LC/65) and Najibul (C/3035) they also confirmed the aggressive nature of both the parties inside the Kanksa police station compound in spite of asking them to keep calm by the duty officer. They also confirmed that after the male members were arrested the female members denied to return to their respective home.” Ms .Samanta has not disclosed any document or any GD entry containing the aforesaid information. Mr. Bandopadhyay in his report similarly relied on the alleged statements made by the constables and Lady Constables. He however admitted that “it is true that whatever they said was based on their memory. They did not have anything in writing with them as to what had happened way back on 13 October 2019.” No further reasoning is required to show that there is no evidentiary value of these statements gratuitously made supporting their officer. This fact lends credence to the case of the petitioner that she herself her husband and her minor son were all detained. Though her husband was put in the lock up she and her son were not put in the lock up.

As regards the necessity to arrest the husband of the petitioner amongst others the ASI during his examination<sup>16</sup> stated as follows: – “when Mr Mukherjee. Rajpurohit and his companions after lodging complaint exited from the Thana premises but were still within the boundary of the police station the opposite party reached the police station. Ashis Banerjee accompanied by his two brothers came to me and lodged their complaint. Mr Mukherjee and his tenant and his companions were waiting within the compound of the police station. After Mr Ashish Banerjee and his companions had recorded their complaint they exited from the police station

---

<sup>15</sup> at page – 106

<sup>16</sup> at page – 103

where Mukherjee, his tenant and his companions were waiting. Both parties started altercating. The officer in charge asked me to see what had happened. I went there and asked them to stop the altercation. They did not listen to me. I informed the same to the officer in charge telephonically. He told me to put all the male members in the lock-up. Accordingly I put them into the lock up. I was at that time accompanied by Lady constables. Thereafter I wrote out the GD entry number 549 under section 151 CRPC. .... I do not know whether a copy of the GD entry regarding arrest was sent to the Circle Inspector. I know that they are required to be sent within 24 hours. Neither the report dated 10 August 2020 nor the report dated 17 September 2021 furnishes any information in that regard. It is true that neither the Mukherjee group including his tenants and their servants nor the Banerjee group carried any arm”.

Can it be suggested by any stretch of imagination that consequence of altercation between two unarmed groups may result in loss of life? The ASI has sought to rely upon section 151 of the code of criminal procedure. The power given under that section “to arrest” can be exercised when the “police officer knows of a design to commit any cognizable offence..... If it appears to such officer that the commission of the offence cannot be otherwise prevented.”

Moreover compare the aforesaid statement of the ASI with what he recorded in the GD number 549. After recording the names of the parties he recorded “tried assault each other in front of police station gate. On seeing I went there along with Sentry and other force and tried my level best to stop them. But the above noted persons did not pay any hide my words. There is every chance of serious breach of peace even loss of life in the above noted persons threatened to each other with dire consequences. Finding no other alternative I arrested.....”

The allegations appearing from the GD number 549 were not even supported during his examination by the ASI who arrested the complainant in gross abuse of power. When the aforesaid statement of the ASI was shown to the ACP Mr Bandopadhyay during his examination he observed “after going through the statement I am of the opinion the arrest was not necessary.” The ASI admitted that “there is no indication in the GD entry that the officer in charge directed me to arrest the six persons.”

After arresting the petitioner’s husband amongst others in gross abuse of power the ASI further abused his power by prolonging their custody till after the midnight and in the matter of granting bail. Bail should have been granted solely on the basis of PR bond but he admitted that “it is true that both PR bond and bail bond were obtained by us before releasing the six arrestees”. By insisting upon bail bonds the arrestees were made to pay to the law clerk habituated to frequenting the police station regularly. Notice the admission of the ASI in that behalf: – “it is true that Anupam Shyam a law clerk visits the concerned police station from time to time. It is true that the arrestees had to pay the surety in consideration of his executing bail bonds for their release. It is true that bail bonds were not required.” The bail bonds themselves are evidence of the fact that they were executed by the said Anupam Shyam.

Not only the arrest was illegal but further illegality was admittedly committed “it is true that I did not inform the relatives of the six arrestees as required under the law. It is also true that I did not inform the arrestees about the reason for their arrest.” The omission to inform the reasons of arrest is an infraction of the mandate contained in section 50(1) of the code of criminal procedure and the omission to inform the relatives is an infraction of subsection 1 of section 50A of the code of criminal procedure.

The ASI Manas Kumar Dolui in the circumstances is held to have in gross abuse of power, failed/neglected or refused to record the complaint of the petitioner Smt. Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit in respect of a cognizable offence under section 354 IPC and thereby committed an offence under section 166 A IPC;

he committed an offence under section 354A by sexually harassing the aforesaid petitioner while discharging his official function;

he in gross misuse of power arrested the complainants including the petitioner’s husband, the said Mukherjee and the said Banerjees and put them into the lock-up;

he in gross misuse of power detained the petitioner and thrashed her minor child ; misused his power in insisting upon bail bonds thereby involving the arrestees in unnecessary expenditure and thus violated the human rights and the right to life liberty and dignity of the concerned persons.

The said Ms Saswati Sweta Samanta by her report dated 10 August 2020 and the said Mr.Srimanta Bandopadhyay by his report dated 17 September 2021 concealed the said ASI Manas Kumar Dolui whom they knew or had reason to believe after inquiring into the matter to have committed the offences detailed above. The said Ms Samanta and the said Mr Bandopadhyay accordingly appear to have committed an offence under section 212 of the Indian penal code.

The commission in the premises makes the following recommendations: –


- a) the ASI Manas Kumar Dolui, ACP Saswati Sweta Samanta and the ACP Srimanta Bandopadhyay be prosecuted under the aforesaid provisions of the Indian penal code and further proceeded against by way of disciplinary proceedings;
- b) compensation of a sum of rupees 1 lakh each be paid to the petitioner Smt Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit, her husband Sri Kahan Singh Rajpurohit and their minor son;
- c) the State of West Bengal is entitled to recover the amount of compensation from the ASI Manas Kumar Dolui in accordance with law and
- d) a report be filed by 31 March 2022 as regards the steps taken to implement the recommendation.

The Additional Secretary is directed to communicate the recommendation to the Chief Secretary to the State of West Bengal duly authenticated as required under the protection of human rights act.



All connected papers<sup>17</sup> be annexed to the recommendation.

The Id Registrar is directed to upload a copy of the recommendation in the website of the commission and to communicate a copy hereof to the petitioner.



Chairperson.

Justice Girish Chandra Gupta.

Signed this 7th day of December 2021

---

<sup>17</sup> At pages-10-109

Complaint

10

403 | 02/2020

To,  
Commissioner  
State Human Rights Commission  
Purta Bhavan, 2<sup>ND</sup> Floor,  
Block DF, Sector-I  
Salt Lake City, Kolkata,  
West Bengal  
PIN:-700091



Respected Sir,

I, Smt. Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit, W/o: Kahan Singh Rajpurohit; Residing at Canal Paar, New Kanksa Road Panagarh Bazar, P.S. Kanksa, P.O. Panagarh Bazar, Dist:- Paschim Burdwan, Pin:- 713148; draw your kind attention and pray with my folded hands for immediately taking legal action as per provision of Indian Penal Code.

That, I, the undersigned along with my family members who are living at West Bengal since last 42 years and who has migrated from the Rajasthan State with a hope of living a better standard lifestyle.

We have a great experience since long and we are very much proud to be the part of the warmth and noble culture of this beautiful State and we are satisfied with the working of the Administration and the State's Police Function as well as the reign of the of the State under the tutelage of the present State Government is praise worthy and highly commendable and due to which Bengal has received its due honour in the platform of International Repute. But unfortunately a recent manufactured anti-social activity towards me by the Police Personnel and few miscreants of the Kanksa Police Station Area, under the District Paschim Burdwan, has Shattered all my pre-conceived notion about the State's Machineries.

Santosh Kanwar Raj Purohit  
Santosh Kanwar Ray Purohit

Banerjee of Canal Paar, New Kanksa Road, Panagarh Bazar, P.S. Kanksa, P.O. Panagarh Bazar, Dist:- Paschim Burdwan, Pin:- 713148, over the issue of a pet dog of my landlord. They forcibly entered the premises of my house and physically assaulted and abused me and further everyone of the above named has manhandled and groped me in order to outrage my modesty and further threatened me by saying "That you non-bengali outsiders, has come here in order to do business and you swines just wait, how we all are going to drive you out of the boundary of the State" and also added "if you try to inform about this incident to any authority, then death is awaiting you all".

I am highly traumatised, victimised and is in great pathetic situation and unspeakable condition. I approached the Kanksa Police Station with my family members for taking legal actions as per the provision of the Law.

When I reached the Police Station on 13/10/2019 at about 11 A.M. and informed about the incident to the duty officer, whose nameplate beared the name as S.I. Manas Doloui, instead of assisting us and doing the needful, started cracking sexually coloured jokes, taunted with abusive language and was laughing in his highest possible pitch. Beside using sexually coloured remarks also body shamed me with uncalled, unacceptable, derogatory sexually coloured gesture and asked me for sexual favour and this particular act has tarnished my dignity and has greatly hampered the sovereignty of my soul altogether and further illegally detained me, my son and my husband at Police Station from 11 A.M. to late night till 1.00 Hours of 14/10/2019.

Not only that he further illegally confined and detained my husband without any reasonable cause or ground and abused him with filthy languages and supported the miscreants who are well known to him and further stated that whatever they have done is completely fine and it is deserving for us and their actions are totally justified and we being outsiders has to tolerate all these or else we have to leave the State and forcibly taken him in custody but without making any General Diary or any F.I.R.

When my husband was inside the lockup, my minor son, namely, Dolt Singh Rajpurohit, was crying and the said officer thrashed him in his head after throwing him in the ground and verbally abused him and

Santosh Kanwar Raj Purohit

Santosh Kanwar Raj Purohit

saying "your minor son is not the child of your married husband but result of your illicit relation with other man" while pointing towards me and then forced me to sign few blank pages under threat that if I sign then he is going to release my husband or else I shall leave with empty hands and if I or any of my family members ever visit this police station then he will lodge false case along with all the family members and also threatened my landlord to evacuate the property where I am staying lawfully vis-a vis Tenancy Agreement, within ten days and written declaration of the same has been taken from him and also stated that the miscreants is staying in the police station for last 5 years and have contacts with goons and mafias as well as higher police personnels, and after all these the officer tried to calm my scared and traumatised son with chocolate.

In light of the above stated facts the miscreants has committed offenses under the provisions of Indian Penal Code and S.I. has further in addition acted against his capacity and violated PRB. Henceforth, I request and pray to you to kindly enquire into the matter and register specific case under provisions of the Law and also pray free and fair enquiry into the wrongful application of power by the above named S.I. and to take stringent action against him and I also beg to state that the above named officer is highly influential and can distort the substance of the true event and at extreme can also be threat to me and my family's safety and life and I further state that if any loss of life or property happens in relation with me or my family then the above named /officer would be wholly responsible. I along with my family including the minor son is prepared and ready to record our statement under section 164 of the Cr.P.C. under proper authority. One more notable fact to which I would draw your kind attention is that due to the above stated torture I was menatally, emotionally devastated and scared and failed to lodge any FIR and hence this delay.

Thanking You

Date: 16.10.2019

Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit  
Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit

Smt. Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit

Final  
Report  
dated  
01.12.20

4869/CR/2020 5270/  
9/12/2020

13

Scanned



OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE  
ASANSOL - DURGAPUR  
(Telephone: 0341-225 7962), Email: [cpadpc@gmail.com](mailto:cpadpc@gmail.com).

Memo No. 93 /HRC/PG Cell/CP/ADPC

Dated 01/12/2020.

To  
The Assistant Secretary,  
West Bengal Human Rights Commission,  
Purta Bhavan (2<sup>nd</sup> Floor),  
Block - DF, Sector-I, Salt Lake,  
Kolkata - 700 091.

Ref: Your office Case No. 581/25/25/2020 dated 16.10.2020

Sub: Enquiry report over the petition of Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit of  
Canelpar, New Kanksa Road, Panagarh Bazar, PS Kanksa, PO Panagarh,  
Dist Paschim Bardhaman.

1. Please refer to the above.
2. The matter was enquired into by Smt Saswati Sweta Samanta, Asstt. Commissioner of Police (Kanksa) and submitted report duly forwarded by Dy. Commissioner of Police, Zone-I (East), Asansol- Durgapur Police Commissionerate. The report in original was sent to the Asstt. Registrar (Law) National Human Rights Commission vide this office Memo No. 57/HRC/PG Cell/CP/ADPC dated 14.09.2020.

However, the copy of the same is attached herewith for your kind perusal

  
Commissioner of Police  
Asansol - Durgapur


Encl: As stated above.

## WEST BENGAL HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

PURTA BHAYAN, 2ND FLOOR, BLOCK-DF, SECTOR - 1, SALT LAKE CITY KOLKATA, Pin Code : 700091

Tel : 033 2337-2655 Fax : 033 2337-9633

Email ID : hrcwb2013@gmail.com , Website : http://www.wbhrc.nic.in



## NOTICE

Case No. 581/25/25/2020

To

THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE  
ASANSOL-DURGAPUR POLICE COMMISSIONERATE,  
PO.ASANSOL, DIST.BURDWAN.

WHEREAS the complaint/intimation dated 16/10/2019 received from SANTOSH KANWAR RAJPUROHIT in respect of SANTOSH KANWAR RAJPUROHIT was placed before the Commission on 30/04/2020 .

AND WHEREAS upon perusing the complaint the Commission has passed the following order.

*CALL FOR A REPORT FROM THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, ASANSOL-DURGAPUR POLICE COMMISSIONERATE.*

NOW THEREFORE TAKE NOTICE that you are required to submit the requisite information / Report within 6 weeks from the date of receipt of this notice.

TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that in default the Commission may proceed to take such action as it deems proper.

Given under my hand and seal of the Commission, this the day of 04 July 2020.

(BY ORDER)

Registrar/Dy. Registrar/Asst. Secretary

Encl: Copy of the complaint.

- Note -->
1. The information / report shall be furnished only by the authority which is called upon to do so.
  2. Please quote the Case No. referred above in all future correspondence / reports.

CC to:

Case No. 581/25/25/2020

-

Case No. 581/25/25/2020

SANTOSH KANWAR RAJPUROHIT  
CANELPAR, NEW KANKSA ROAD, PANAGARH BAZAR,  
P.S- KANKSA PO- PANAGARH W/BURDWAN 713148  
PASHCHIM BARDHMAN, WEST BENGAL.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar/Asst. Secretary

PG# - 54 / HRC  
16.10.20

403 / 2/2020 (15)



To,  
Commissioner  
State Human Rights Commission  
Purta Bhavan, 2<sup>ND</sup> Floor,  
Block DF, Sector-I  
Salt Lake City, Kolkata,  
West Bengal  
PIN:-700091

Respected Sir,

I, Smt. Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit, W/o; Kahan Singh Rajpurohit;  
Residing at Canal Paar, New Kanksa Road Panagarh Bazar, P.S.  
Kanksa, P.O. Panagarh Bazar, Dist:- Paschim Burdwan, Pin:- 713148;  
draw your kind attention and pray with my folded hands for immediately  
taking legal action as per provision of Indian Penal Code.

That, I, the undersigned along with my family members who are living at  
West Bengal since last 42 years and who has migrated from the  
Rajasthan State with a hope of living a better standard lifestyle.

We have a great experience since long and we are very much proud to  
be the part of the warmth and noble culture of this beautiful State and  
we are satisfied with the working of the Administration and the State's  
Police Function as well as the reign of the of the State under the tutelage  
of the present State Government is praise worthy and highly  
commendable and due to which Bengal has received its due honour in  
the platform of International Repute. But unfortunately a recent  
manufactured anti-social activity towards me by the Police Personnel  
and few miscreants of the Kanksa Police Station Area, under the District  
Paschim Burdwan, has Shattered all my pre-conceived notion about the  
State's Machineries.

Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit

Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit

ing "your minor son is not the child of your married husband but  
 result of your illicit relation with other man" while pointing towards me  
 and then forced me to sign few blank pages under threat that if I sign  
 then he is going to release my husband or else I shall leave with empty  
 hands and if I or any of my family members ever visit this police station  
 then he will lodge false case along with all the family members and also  
 threatened my landlord to evacuate the property where I am staying  
 lawfully vis-a vis Tenancy Agreement, within ten days and written  
 declaration of the same has been taken from him and also stated that  
 the miscreants is staying in the police station for last 5 years and have  
 contacts with goons and mafias as well as higher police personnels ,  
 and after all these the officer tried to calm my scared and traumatised  
 son with chocolate.

In light of the above stated facts the miscreants has committed offenses  
 under the provisions of Indian Penal Code and S.I. has further in  
 addition acted against his capacity and violated PRB. Henceforth, I  
 request and pray to you to kindly enquire into the matter and register  
 specific case under provisions of the Law and also pray free and fair  
 enquiry into the wrongful application of power by the above named S.I.  
 and to take stringent action against him and I also beg to state that the  
 above named officer is highly influential and can distort the substance of  
 the true event and at extreme can also be threat to me and my family's  
 safety and life and I further state that if any loss of life or property  
 happens in relation with me or my family then the above named /officer  
 would be wholly responsible. I along with my family including the minor  
 son is prepared and ready to record our statement under section 164 of  
 the Cr.P.C. under proper authority. One more notable fact to which I  
 would draw your kind attention is that due to the above stated torture I  
 was menatally, emotionally devastated and scared and failed to lodge  
 any FIR and hence this delay.

Thanking You

Date: 16.10.2019

Yours faithfully  
 Santosh Kanwar Raj Purohit  
 Santosh Kanwar Raj Purohit

Smt Santosh Kanwar Rainurohit





OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE  
ASANSOL - DURGAPUR  
(Telephone - 0341 225-7962), Email - [cpadpc@gmail.com](mailto:cpadpc@gmail.com).

Dated 14/09/2020.

Memo No. 57 /HRC/PG Cell/CP/ADPC

To  
The Assistant Registrar (Law),  
National Human Rights Commission (Law Division)  
Manav Adhikar Bhawan,  
Block- 'C', GPO Complex, INA,  
New Delhi - 110023.

Ref: Your office Case No.1664/25/25/2019 dated 29.05.2020

Sub: Enquiry report over the petition of Santosh Kunwar Rajpurohit W/O Kahan Singh Rajpurohit of Canal Road, New Kanksa Road Panagarh, PS Kanksa, Dist Paschim Bardhaman, West Bengal.

1. Please refer to the above.
2. In this connection I am enclosing herewith the enquiry report submitted by Smt Saswati Sweta Samanta, Asstt. Commissioner of Police (Kanksa), duly forwarded by Dy. Commissioner of Police, Zone-I (East), Asansol- Durgapur Police Commissionerate on the above subject matter.

This is for your kind information.

~~Sm  
Commissioner of Police  
Asansol - Durgapur~~

Encl: As stated above.

18 17.8.20  
231

**Office of the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Zone-I (East),  
Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate.**

Memo No 1107/E /DCP Zone-I (East), ADPC

Dated 13/08/2020

To,  
The Ld. Commissioner of Police,  
Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate

Ref: Memo No. 41/HRC/PG Cell/CP/ADPC Dtd. 27.07.2020 (enclosed).

Sub: Petition of Smt.Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit.

Respected Sir,

I am to state that in this connection a report submitted by ACP Kanksa, ADPC which will speak for itself is enclosed for your kind perusal.

The allegation made by the petitioner Smt.Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit reg. harassment by Manas kr. Dolui of Kanksa PS at present posted at Asansol South PP under Asansol South PS was not substantiated on enquiry. After proper enquiry, prosecutions u/s 107/116(3) Cr.P.C have been submitted against both the parties, who are known to be quarrelsome and trouble mongers in the locality to maintain peace and tranquility in the area.

This is for your kind information.

Yours faithfully,

*[Signature]*  
13/08/2020

Dy. Commissioner of Police, Zone-I (East),  
Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate.

*Dy. Commissioner of Police*  
ZONE-1 (EAST)  
Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate



19



Government of West Bengal  
Office of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kanksa  
Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate

Contact No. 0343-2527222

Email: acpkanksaadpc@gmail.com

Memo No. 1239 /ACP (Kanksa), ADPC

Date: 10 / 08 / 2020

To,  
The Deputy Commissioner of Police (Zone I) East  
Asansol Durgapur Police Commissionerate

Dist-Paschim Burdwan

Ref: - Your good office Memo No. 1842/V/DCP (Zone I) East, ADPC dated 29.07.2020

Respected Sir,

With reference to the above a detailed enquiry has been conducted. I have gone through the petition of petitioner Smt. Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit w/o Kahan Singh Rajpurohit of Canal Par, PO- Panagarh Bazar, PS-Kanksa, Dist- Paschim Bardhaman.

During the enquiry it was revealed that the petitioner's landlord Ashis Mukherjee s/o Lt. Chittaranjan Mukherjee owns a pet dog called 'Dova' regarding which the next door neighbours namely (i) Ashis Bannerjee (ii) Sukumar Bannerjee (iii) Mukti Dhar Bannerjee all are son's of Ajit Kumar Bannerjee of Canal parh, New Kanksa Road P.O- Panagarh Bazar PS- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman had objection. Both the families are involved into long standing dispute over the issue of pet dog. On 13.10.19 at about 10.30 hrs a hot altercation took place in between the petitioner and his neighbours (i) Ashis Bannerjee (ii) Sukumar Bannerjee (iii) Mukti Dhar Bannerjee all are son's of Ajit Kumar Bannerjee of Cannel par, new Kanksa road P.O- Panagarh Bazar PS- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman over the same issue where the O.P members abused in filthy languages to the petitioner and her landlord.

After a while the petitioner along with his landlord Ashis Mukherjee went to the police station and reported verbally to the duty officer of Kanksa PS, ASI Manas Kr. Dolui regarding the aforementioned incident. Accordingly the duty officer dialed the matter in G.D vide Kanksa PS GDE No- 546 Dtd- 13.10.19. On the same day after a few minutes the above noted O.P members also came to the Kanksa PS and lodged dairy against the petitioner & her landlord Asish Mukherjee vide Kanksa PS GDE no- 547 Dt- 13.10.19. At that time again both the groups got involved in hot altercation with a riotous mood and abused each other in presence of police at the Kanksa PS compound. Duty officer ASI Manas Kr. Dolui and others tried to stop this type of activities, but both parties did not pay any heed of them. Then, LC Kanksa intervened promptly and finally as per order ASI Manas Kr. Dolui arrested the male members of the both parties U/S- 151 CrPC to prevent any cognizable offence after maintaining all formalities of arrest. Later police tried to disperse the female members of the both parties with help of Lady Police, but they were coming to the duty officer again and again with their demand of release their relatives. But at time as both the parties were very angry and were in aggressive mood, it not possible for police to fulfill their demand. After few hours when both the parties became calm, police released both the parties, on condition that both the parties will maintain peace and tranquility

the locality. This is to be noted that no female person was detained or arrested in this

I have also examined the police persons who were performing sentry duties in the Kanksa PS namely C/848 Swarup Paul (12:00 hrs - 14:00hrs & 18:00 hrs - 20:00 hrs), LC/65 Pampa Roy (14:00 hrs - 16:00 hrs & 20:00 hrs - 22:00 hrs) and C/3035 Sk Najibul Haque (10:00 hrs - 12:00 hrs & 16:00 hrs - 18:00 hrs). They also confirmed the aggressive nature of both the parties inside the Kanksa PS compound inspite of asking them to keep calm by the duty officer. They also confirmed that after the male members were arrested the female members denied to return to their respective home and were initially sitting under the concrete umbrella shade inside the PS compound and later were found going over to the duty officer repeatedly demanding the release of their respective male family members/relatives.

In the petition the petitioner admitted that police offered chocolate to her minor son, so it is absurd to say that police has harassed her son. In the course of the enquiry it is clear that Kanksa Police maintained all the possible measures to handle the incident sensibly like by arresting the male members on preventive basis, asking the female members to disperse from the PS compound and offering chocolate to the petitioner's minor son who was there throughout in the PS. Hence the allegation made by the petitioner against ASI Manas Kr. Dolui of Kanksa PS is not substantiated.

Further delving in to the matter revealed that pet dog issue is not the only issue between the two families. There is a history of dispute between the two families regarding other issues like drainage layout system etc. ASI Manas Kr Dolui enquired in to the matter further in presence of both the parties as well as local people namely (i) Prithiraj Tudu M/O- Tulsi Tudu (ii) Pranab Kr. Ghosh C/O- Subir Paul (9474826893) (iii) Subhadwip Mukherjee S/O- Tapan Mukherjee (6295182597) (iv) Ananda Sarkar (8944935660), all of Canal par, New Kanksa Road P.O- Panagarh Bazar PS- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman which reveals that long dispute is going on in between the above noted parties since long over the issue of pet dog of the petitioner's landlord, drainage layout system, and other various matter. Both the petitioner and the O.P members are known as quarrelsome and trouble monger persons in that locality. After enquiry ASI Manas Kr. Dolui of Kanksa PS submitted prosecution against both the petitioner and the O.P members Kanksa PS NCR no- 704/19 Dtd- 16.10.19 & NCR no- 705/19 Dtd- 16.10.19 U/S- 107/116(3) CrPC respectively to maintain peace and tranquility at that locality.

So the allegation made by the petitioner Smt Santosh Kanwar Rajpurhat regarding harassment by ASI Manas Kr. Dolui of Kanksa PS could not substantiated. Now a Present ASI Manas Kumar Dolui posted at Asansol South PP under Asansol South PS, ADPC.

This is for your kind perusal.

Thanking You.

Yours faithfully

Assistant Commissioner of Police  
Kanksa

Asansol Durgapur Police Commissionerate  
Asst. Commissioner of Police  
Kankes  
Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate  
Dist-Paschim Bardhaman

Order passed after considering the first report

(21)

- 5 -

West Bengal  
Human Rights  
Commission

File number 581/25/25/2020

26.08.2021

The petitioner accompanied by her husband and minor child went to the police seeking protection. The police took the husband of the petitioner in custody along with the male members of the opposite party throwing to the wind, the law of the land, umpteen number of judgements delivered by the Apex Court, guidelines issued from time to time to safeguard the human rights of the citizens. The aforesaid act of the police found approval of the assistant commissioner of police who in his report dated 10 August 2020 concluded "the allegations made by the petitioner..... Could not be substantiated" which was communicated to the commission by the CP by his letter dated 1 December 2020.

The commission is of the prima facie opinion that the human rights of both the parties were grossly violated by the officer in uniform which has been blatantly supported and/or encouraged by the Asst Commissioner of police. Both the aforesaid officers are liable to be proceeded against unless proper explanation backed by documentary evidence justifying the arrest is furnished by 15 September 2021 to the commission.

The assistant secretary is directed to produce this file to me together with report /explanation if any received from the aforesaid officers on 20 September 2021 without fail. The order be communicated to the CP Asansol Durgapur who shall be liable to communicate this order to the concerned

West Bengal  
Human Rights  
Commission

- 6 -

File number 581/25/25/2020

officers indicated above. A copy of the order be also communicated to the petitioner forthwith.

The joint secretary is directed to supervise the proceedings and compliance with this order.



(Justice Girish Chandra Gupta)

Chairperson.

Second  
Report  
dt, 20/09  
21

6976/CR/2021. <sup>(23)</sup>



OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE  
ASANSOL - DURGAPUR  
(Telephone: 0341-225 7962), Email: [cpadpc@gmail.com](mailto:cpadpc@gmail.com).

Memo No. 229 /HRC/PG Cell/CP/ADPC

Dated 20/09/2021.


To  
The Assistant Secretary,  
West Bengal Human Rights Commission,  
Purta Bhavan (2<sup>nd</sup> Floor),  
Block - DF, Sector-1, Salt Lake,  
Kolkata - 700 091.

Ref: Your office Case No 581/25/25/2020 dated 26.08.2021

Sub: Explanation over the petition of Sontosh Kanwar Rajpurohit of  
Canelpar, New Kanksa Road, Panagarh Bazar, PS Kanksa, Dist Paschim  
Bardhaman,

1. Please refer to the above.
2. In this connection, I am enclosing herewith the explanation submitted by  
Shri Srimanta Kumar Bandopadhyay, Asstt. Commissioner of Police  
(Kanksa) duly forwarded by Dy. Commissioner of Police, Zone-I, (East)  
Asansol- Durgapur Police Commissionerate on the above subject matter.

This is for your kind information.

  
Commissioner of Police  
Asansol - Durgapur

Enco: As stated above.



Government of West Bengal  
Office of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kanksa  
Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate

Contact No. 0343-2527222

Email: acpkanksaadpc@gmail.com

Memo No. 1598/ACP (Kanksa), ADPC

Date: 17-09/2021

To  
The Commissioner of Police,  
Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate,  
Dist- Paschim Bardhaman.

Through proper channel

Ref:- Memo No. 207/HRC/PG Cell/CP/ADPC dt. 28.08.2021.

Sub: Petitioner of Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit (HRC).

Respected Sir,

With due respect and humble submission in connection with the above noted reference, I am to report that I went through the petition of the petitioner Shri Mati Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit W/o- Kahan Singh Rajpurohit of Cannel Par, New Kanksa road, Panagarh Bazar, P.S- Kanksa district Paschim Bardhaman and submitted a report which is as follows:

On 13.10.2019 at about 10.30 hours a hot altercation took place in between the petitioner Smt. Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit, Kahan Singh Rajpurohit and her neighbourers 1.Ashish Banerjee, 2.Sukumar Banerjee & 3.Muktidhar Banerjee all are S/o- Ajit Kumar Banerjee of New Kanksa Road Panagarh Bazar PS- Kanksa, District- Paschim Bardhaman over the issue of a pet dog, drain and water.

As a result, the OP members abused the petitioner and her landlord (Ashish Mukherjee) in filthy languages. Then the petitioner, landlord Ashish Mukherjee and Kahan Singh Rajpurohit lodged a general diary at Kanksa PS vide GD entry number 546 dated 13.10.19, on the strength of their verbal allegation. (Extract copy of GDE is attached as noted vide Annexure -A). The then duty officer of Kanksa PS (maintaining GD book) ASI Manas Kr. Dalui noted the said GDE.

Accordingly after few minutes the said O.P members also reported at Kanksa P.S and lodged a verbal complaint against the petitioner's husband and landlord Ashish Mukherjee vide Kanksa P.S GDE entry No. 547 dated. 13.10.19. (Extract copy of GDE is attached as Annexure -B)

The said both parties got involved in a hot altercation at Kanksa PS compound in presence of local people and PS staff.

The then duty officer ASI Manas Kr. Dalui intervened with the help of lady constable, lady CV, other officers with a view to calm down the said situation and also to prevent any unwanted incident within Kanksa P.S compound but both sides disobeyed the direction of government official.

IC Kanksa Police station quickly intervened into the matter but both sides again disobeyed the police administration intentionally. Which was very unusual and unexpected from the end of said two families and also out of trend.



then there was no other alternative, duty officer ASI Manas Kr. Dalui arrested the male members of both sides u/s- 151 Cr.P.C with a view to prevent any untoward incident. (Extract copy of GDE, six arrest Memo are attached as noted vide Annexure -C).

The female members of both sides badly reacted against the arrest of their male members and raised huge hue and cry against the police within the police station and also demanding the quick release of the said persons in very aggressive mood.

Such type of behavior was very unexpected and also unwanted.

After a few hours, when the situation became normal, the then duty officer ASI Manas Kr. Dalui released both sides on condition for maintaining peace and tranquility. Which is all time expected from the law abiding people. (Extract copy of GDE, copies of bond are attached as noted vide Annexure -D)

The female members of the both sides not detained or arrested in c/w said matter. I have examined the then Sentry duties of the Kanksa PS at the alleged time viz HG 848 Swarup Pal (12.00 to 14.00 hours, 18.00 to 20.00 hours), LHG 65 Pampa Roy (14.00 to 16.00 hours and 20.00 to 22.00 hours) and C/3035 Sk. Najibul Haque (10.00 to 12.00 hours and 16.00 to 18.00 hours).

I have been reported and confirmed the aggressive attitude of the both sides inside Kanksa PS and also PS compound and both sides disobeyed the directions of law enforcing agency. They also reported that female members had denied to leave the police station for their respective dwelling houses. They had created various problems to the police personnel frequently within the PS and also at PS compound.

The both sides had shown extreme misbehavior to the then duty officer ASI Manas Kr. Dalui, inspite of that he had able to handle the situation very tactfully following the order of IC Kanksa PS.

The full families of the both sides reported at Kanksa PS at the time of lodging diary with petitioner's minor son.

The then duty officer ASI Manas Kr. Dalui offered a chair at child care corner of Kanksa PS as per norms but the petitioner refused and continuously created problem.

Showing the human face Kanksa police offered water bottle, chocolate etc to the all female members and the minor son of the petitioner.

After enquiry ASI Manas Kr. Dalui of Kanksa P.S submitted prosecution against both sides i.e the petitioner and the OP members vide Kanksa P.S NCR No. 704/19 dated. 16.10.19 and NCR 705/19 dated. 16.10.19 U/S 107/116(3) Cr.P.C respectably to maintain peace and tranquility. ( Copies of NCR as noted vide Annexure -E)

I personally examined the petitioner, her husband Kahan Singh Rajpurohit & also the O.P and found that petitioner along with her husband Kahan Singh Rajpurohit and her only one male child are residing at the said address in a rented house (landlord Ashish Mukherjee).

A long standing dispute is continuing in between landlord Ashish Mukherjee and O.P over the various issues, specially for a Pet Dog of landlord.

Landlord (Ashish Mukherjee) is not continuously residing at said address. As a result the petitioner used to look after the said Pet dog, as per request of landlord Ashish Mukherjee.

As a result the petitioner had been targeted by the O.P and also used abuse languages to her.

The petitioners husband Kahan Singh Rajpurohit is a businessman of Garments and his entire establishment is existing at the said rented house and also a very cozy relation with landlord.

Actually on 13.10.2019 the O.P members used slang to the employee of Kahan Singh Rajpurohit over the said issue and Kahan Singh Rajpurohit raised voice against them. As a result both side reported at PS.

At present both sides are maintaining peace and restrain from any hot altercation only due to the Law-full action, which had been taken by Kanksa PS. The both cases u/s- 107 Cr.P.C are Sub Judice. The next date of their hearing on 02.11.2021 against Kahan Singh Rajpurohit & others and 03.12.2021 against Ashit @ Ashish Banerjee & others, copies of order sheet are attached. (Annexure -F)

Kahan Singh Rajpurohit the husband of petitioner also admitted the justified police action and in other hand also expressed his annoyance against the then duty officer of Kanksa PS ASI Manas Kumar Dalui. He has submitted a written in this regard. (vide Annexure -G)

Hence the allegation made by the petitioner against ASI Manas Kr. Dalui of Kanksa P.S could not substantiate. Mrs. Kahan Singh Rajpurohit has attested the police action and also expressing his annoyance against the then duty officer of Kanksa PS ASI Manas Kumar Dalui.

He has also prayed for police assistance like before and at time for his peace full livelihood and existence. This is for favor of your kind perusal.

Yours faithfully,

*[Signature]* 17-09-2021

Asst. Commissioner of Police,  
Kanksa,  
Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate

Asst. Commissioner of Police  
Kanksa  
Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate  
Dist. Paschim Bardhaman

**FORWARDED**

A report of ACP Kanksa is

Dy. C. P. ZONE-1 (EAST)  
ASANSOL - DURGAPUR  
POLICE COMMISSIONERATE

being forwarded in which he clearly denies any illegal activity by ASI Manas Dalui. A written declaration of the petitioner's husband has also been enclosed.

*[Signature]*  
18/09/2021

Dy. Commissioner of Police  
ZONE-1 (EAST)  
Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate

1701/E/DCP(East)  
18.09.2021

Annexure "A"

Extract copy of Kanksa PS GDEND-546 dt-13-10-2019

14.15 <sup>546</sup> / NOTE

By this time one Ashis Mukherjee S/O Chittaranjan Mukherjee of Kanksa Canal Kar PS-Kanksa Dist Paschim Bardhaman came to PS and reported that the OP. Members namely Ashis Banerjee (48) S/O Ajit Banerjee (43) S/O Sukumar Banerjee (41) S/O Ajit Banerjee all of Kanksa Canal Kar PS-Kanksa Dist Paschim Bardhaman threatened to the complainant over the issue of Pending Graduate. Noted the fact in R.D.

Certified to be true copy.

Inspector in Charge  
Kanksa Police Station  
A.D.P.C  
Paschim Bardhaman

SD  
Manas Dalui  
ASI of Police  
Kanksa PS.  
13.10.2019

Annexure "B"

Extract copy of Kanksa PS GDEND-547 dt-13-10-2019

14.25 <sup>547</sup> / NOTE

By this time one Ashis Banerjee (48) S/O Ajit Banerjee of Kanksa Canal Kar PS-Kanksa Dist Paschim Bardhaman came to PS and reported that the OP. Members namely Ashis Mukherjee (47) S/O Chittaranjan Mukherjee (26) S/O Joydeb Bhakat (26) S/O Jangali Bhakat all of Panagarh Bazar Police PS-Kanksa Dist Paschim Bardhaman (47) S/O D. Singh of Kanksa Canal Kar PS Kanksa District Bardhaman threatened to the complainant with dire consequence also used the BSL language in the complainant. over the issue of Pending Graduate. Noted the fact in R.D. for future reference.

Certified to be true copy.

Inspector in Charge  
Kanksa Police Station  
A.D.P.C  
Paschim Bardhaman

SD  
Manas Dalui  
ASI of Police  
Kanksa PS.  
13-10-2019

EXTRACT COPY OF KANKSA PS GDEM-547 dt-13.10.19

16:15 549  
Arrested  
06 aml  
UPS-151  
CRPc.

Reb. Kanksa PS GDEM. 546/2547 dt-13.10.19. This  
time I was duty officer of Kanksa PS in town  
The Ashis Mukherjee s/o Chittaranjan Mukherjee, Kahan  
Singh s/o Dal Singh both of Kanksa Panagarh canal  
Pan B. Kanksa (BWN(W), Joydeep Bhakat s/o  
Jangali Bhakat of officer Pan Panagarh Bazar  
B. Kanksa Dist. Bardhaman started to quarrel  
with Ashis Banerjee s/o Ajit Banerjee, Sukumar  
Banerjee s/o Ajit Banerjee, Muktothar Banerjee  
s/o Ajit Banerjee all one of Panagarh canal Pan  
B. Kanksa Dist Paschim Bardhaman each other  
& tried to hit each other in front of PS gate.  
On seeing I went there along with sentry & other  
force and tried my level best to stop them.  
But the above noted persons did not pay any  
heed my words. There is every chance of serious  
breach of peace even loss of life in the above  
consequence, pending no other alternative I  
arrested ① Ashis mukherjee (ns) s/o Chittaranjan mukherjee  
② Joydeep Bhakat (26) s/o Jangali Bhakat ③ Ashis  
Banerjee (ns) s/o Ajit Banerjee ④ Sukumar Banerjee  
(43) s/o Ajit Banerjee ⑤ Muktothar Banerjee (41)  
s/o Ajit Banerjee all one of Kanksa Canal Pan  
B. Kanksa Dist BWN(W) ⑥ Ashis Banerjee (48)  
of Panagarh officer Pan B. Kanksa Dist BWN(W)  
UPS-151 CRPc after issue memo of arrest. Put  
them into B lock up after observing all legal  
rules & regulations, inform IC Kanksa B about  
the matter. Note the fact in GD to be taken  
rebaranceer.

certified to be  
true copy

Inspector in Charge  
Kanksa Police Station  
A.D.P.C  
Paschim Bardhaman

/ ssp  
Manan Dalui  
ASST of Police  
Kanksa B  
13.10.2019

Line-D Extant copy of Kauksa PS @BMO 559 dt. 13-10-2019

22:35 <sup>559</sup>  
Aeeef  
Release  
on bar

By this time one Anupam Shyam Law Clerk  
of Durgam Court came to PS and stood security  
against 06. arrested and persons namely (1)  
Ashis Mukherjee (2) Joydeep Bhattacharya (3) Kahan  
Sinha (4) Ashis Banerjee (5) Sukumar Banerjee  
(6) Muktohar Banerjee In CW

Certified to be  
true copy.

*(Signature)*  
Inspector in Charge  
Kauksa Police Station  
A.P.C.  
Paschim Bardhaman

Sd/-  
Manas Das  
Asst of Police  
Kauksa PS  
13-10-2019

REPORT FOR PROSECUTION IN CASES IN WHICH NON FIRST INFORMATION REPORT IS USED  
 Ref: Kanksa P.S GDE No. 546 Dated 13.10.19 & Enquiry Kanksa PS GDE No. 645 Dated 16.10.19 U/S 107/116(3) Cr.P.C,  
 DISTRICT...Paschim Bardhaman (P.R.B From No. 41 Vide Rule 220) POLICE STATION...Kanksa

Ref. Kanksa PS NCR No. 704/19 Dated 16.10.19	Serial No.
ASI. Manas Kr. Dalui of Kanksa P.S On behalf of state govt. of West Bengal	Complete Name of or Inform ation
1. Ashit Banerjee (48) S/o- Ajit Banerjee of Kanksa Canel Par P.S- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman, 2. Sulcumar Banerjee (43) S/o- Ajit Banerjee of Do. 3. Multidhar Banerjee (41) S/o- Ajit Banerjee of Do.	Name of address of accused (Note: If Sent up in custody or on bail. If on bail the bail bond should be attached.
Not arrested	Date of arrest
May kindly be fixed by Ld. Court.	Date of Hearing
<p style="text-align: center;">                         Submitted By  <i>Manoj Kumar Das</i>                          ASI of Police                          Kanksa P.S                          16/10/19                     </p> <p>                         So, I therefore pray before your honours court that 107                          Cr.P.C may kindly be prosecuted against O.P member noted in col                          No. 03 to prevent cog offence. Another I pray before your honours                          court that bound down them 116 (3) Cr.P.C to curv down their                          such activities.                     </p> <p>                         During enquiry I had been to the village Kanksa Canelpar                          P.S- Kanksa, Dist- Paschim Bardhaman and enquired the matter                          locally. During enquiry it could be learnt that the fact is true.                          Further enquiry it could be learnt that O.P person are very rough                          and quarrel in nature. Their activities create there a serious                          breach of peace.                     </p> <p>                         The fact of the case in brief is that on 13.10.19 at 14.15 hours                          one Ashit Mukherjee S/o- Chittaranjan Mukherjee of Kanksa                          Canelpar P.S-Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman came to P.S and                          reported that on the O.P member noted in col No. 03 are used                          filthy languages to him and threatened over the issue of previous                          grudge. Over this information Kanksa P.S GDE No. 546 dated                          13.10.19 has been lodged and as per endorsement of I.C Kanksa                          P.S I took up its enquiry.                     </p>	Brief description of offence with date and place of occurrence and section of law. U/S 107/116 (3) Cr.P.C
<p> <u>Complainant:-</u> Ashit Mukherjee S/o- Chittaranjan Mukherjee of                          Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa, Dist:- Paschim Bardhaman  <u>Name of Witnesses -</u>                          1. Joydeep Bhagat S/o- Jangali Bhagat of Panagarh Bazar, Officepara P.S- Kanksa                          Dist- Paschim Bardhaman.                          2. Kahan Singh S/o- Tol Singh of Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa Dist- Bwn(w)                     </p>	Name and address of witness.
	Result of trial with the name of the trying Magistr ate.
	Remarks

AMRANKA S GDE No. 547 Dated 13.10.19 & Enquiry Kanksa PS GDE No. 645 Dated 16.10.19 U/S 107/116(3) Cr.P.C.  
 DISTRICT... Paschim Bardhaman (P.R.B Form No. 41 Vide Rule 220) POLICE STATION... Kanksa

Ref. Kanksa PS NCR No. 705/19 Dated 16.10.19		Serial No.
ASI. Manas Kr. Dalui of Kanksa P.S On behalf of state govt. of West Bengal		Complaint or Inform action
1. Ashit Mukherjee (47) S/o- Lt. Chittaranjan Mukherjee 2. Kahan Singh(47) S/o- Tol Singh Both are of Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman. 3. Joydeep Bhagat (26)S/o- Jangali Bhagat of Panagarh Officepara P.S- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman.		Name of address of accused (Note: If sent up in custody or on bail. If on bail the bail bond should be attached).
Not arrested		Date of arrest
May kindly be fixed by Ld. Court.		Date of Hearing
During enquiry I had been to the village Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa, Dist- Paschim Bardhaman and enquired the matter locally. During enquiry it could be learnt that the fact is true. Further enquiry it could be learnt that O.P person are very rough and quarrel in nature. Their activities create there a serious breach of peace.  So, I therefore pray before your honours court that 107 Cr.P.C may kindly be prosecuted against O.P member noted in col No. 03 to prevent cog offence. Another i pray before your honours court that bound down them 116 (3) Cr.P.C to cure down their such activities.		Brief description of offence with date and place of occurrence and section of law.  U/S 107/116 (3) Cr.P.C
Submitted By A.S.I of Police Kanksa PS 16/10/19		Name and address of witness.
Complainant:- Ashit Danerjee S/o- Ajit Banerjee of Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa, Dist- Paschim Bardhaman Name of Witnesses - 1. Sukumar Banerjee (43) S/o- Ajit Banerjee 2. Muktidhar Banerjee (41) S/o- Ajit Banerjee Both are of Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman.		Result of trial with the name of the trying Magistrate.
		Remarks





2-17-19

7/19

The boy off put up petition  
 1st member to apply as before  
 the court and they will  
 hold final court show cause on  
 the grounds that there is  
 of file and  
 bail bond and  
 are hereby  
 upon the complaint  
 Feb 27-12-19 for

3  
2-12-19

1st member to file petition  
 Robert M. ...  
 exam and cross exam in part  
 on the prayer of ...  
 Feb 3-4-20 for evidence

4  
3-13-20

Read it put up doings  
 a no deposit date ...  
 Feb 27-11 to the court

5

no field take by ...  
 Feb 27-11 to the court

6

no set take by ...  
 Feb 27-11 to the court

BURDWAN

COURT OF

OF DURGAPUR / ASANSOL

No. 117/19

of 20

Serial No. of order	Date	Order with Signature of the Magistrate	Office notes as to action taken on order of any and date
1	10.10.20	<p>Recd. 6 Jy of B.P.</p> <p>A rec. dated 10.10.20</p> <p>for 6.10.20 for app of CP</p>	
2	10.10.20	<p>Recd. 6 Jy of B.P.</p> <p>A rec. dated 10.10.20</p> <p>for 6.10.20 for app of CP</p>	
3	10.10.20	<p>Recd. 6 Jy of B.P.</p> <p>A rec. dated 10.10.20</p> <p>for 6.10.20 for app of CP</p>	
4	11.5.21	<p>Recd. 6 Jy of B.P.</p> <p>A rec. dated 11.5.21</p> <p>for 6.10.20 for app of CP</p>	
5	27.7.21	<p>Recd. 6 Jy of B.P.</p> <p>A rec. dated 27.7.21</p> <p>for 6.10.20 for app of CP</p>	

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA  
भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग

भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग  
Duplicate  
प्रतिलिपि



Elector's Name Avinash Kumar Bhatnagar  
Father's Name Anil  
Sex M  
Age as on 1.1.2000 27

Address  
Mallapur (Paschim) Block, Kanksa  
Burdwan

District  
Burdwan (West)

Facsimile Signature  
Electoral Registration Officer  
For 266-Kanksa(SG)  
Assembly Constituency  
Place Burdwan  
Date 10/11/2000

Avinash Kumar Bhatnagar  
Avinash Bhatnagar

आयकर विभाग  
 INCOME TAX DEPARTMENT  
 ASHIS MUKHERJEE,  
 CHITTARANJAN MUKHERJEE  
 22/11/1971  
 PAN: AZZPH3580D  
 Ashis Mukherjee

भारत सरकार  
 GOVT. OF INDIA



Ashis Mukherjee

Annexure - F

ORDER - SHEET FOR MAGISTRATE'S RECORDS

IN THE COURT OF THE SPECIAL EXECUTIVE MAGISTRATE OF BURDWAH

N.C.R. No. 701/19

U/S- 107/16(3) Cr.P. C.

M.F. CASE NO. 1177/19

Serial no. of order	Date	Order with signature of the Magistrate	Office copies taken or (if any)
1.	26/11/19	<p>From the P.R. of Kankra P.S. which it is stated that</p> <p>① Ajit Banerjee &amp; Asish Banerjee - S.O. - Ajit Banerjee of Kankra canal post, P.S. Kankra Dist. Paschim Burdwa.</p> <p>② Sukumar Banerjee S.O. - Ajit Banerjee of do.</p> <p>③ Mukhtar Banerjee S.O. - Ajit Banerjee of do.</p> <p>are creating disturbance and prays for drawn up proceeding u/s 107(1) (C) against him/ them.</p> <p>Having regard to the material on the record I am of the opinion that there is ground exist for proceeding. Hence proceeding u/s 107 CrP.C is drawn.</p> <p>O.P. Ops are hereby directed to appear before this Court and file show Cause on 26/11/19.</p> <p>Why he/ they should not be order to execute on furnishing bond of Rs. 1000/- each with one surety of like amount for maintaining peace for the period of one year.</p> <p>Summons to be serve upon the ops through O.C. Kankra P.S.</p>	<p>26-11-19</p> <p>27-11-19</p> <p>28-11-19</p> <p>29-11-19</p> <p>30-11-19</p> <p>01-12-19</p> <p>02-12-19</p>

Special Executive Magistrate

O.P.'s 1 & 2 also appeared in appeared before this court and finally gave bail on filing bail bond and show cause which was then by accepted  
O.P.'s 1 & 2 also filed bail bond along with time petition of show cause which are then by accepted  
Fix: 14-01-20 for bail of O.P.'s and filing show cause

low  
24/1/19

3  
14-1-20

O.P. member 1 & 3 files application  
O.P. member 2 & 3 also file a petition for time for filing show cause and  
O.P. member 1) files a petition under of show cause. Let it be kept with the record.  
Fix: 2-2-20 for app O.P.'s and filing show cause  
O.P. member 2 & 3

APR 10 2020  
14/01/20

6  
2-2-20

No steps taken by O.P.'s and show cause not filed.  
Fix: 3-3-20 for steps of O.P.'s and filing show cause

Later  
SUSPENSION of (3) O.P.'s, O.P. no. 1000/2019  
by petition and O.P. no. 1000/2019  
03/03/2020

ORDER - SHEET FOR MAGISTRATE'S RECORDS

BURDWAN

COURT OF

OF DURGAPUR ZASANSOL  
of 20

M.P. No. 1177/19

Versus

No. der	Date	Order with Signature of the Magistrate	Other notes as to orders made in order of any and date
	5.5.21	w/ stop taken by No 21.5.21 with of	
	21.05.21	due to lock down fin: 13.08.21 for app.	
7.	13.08.21	c/o take no stop. fin: 03.12.21 for app.	

Annexure-20  
Mumukshu

ACP Sir Kanksa

Sir, मैं कान्सा सिटी राजपूताना में श्रीमति लक्ष्मी कौर  
 राजपूताना के गले पाल पानागड कोठाल में श्री आशीष  
 कुमार मुखर्जी Sr. स्कॉलर श्रीमती मुखर्जी के प  
 को गाड़ा रहला हूँ और RAJ PUROHIT SAREE HOU-  
 गान के जेना Business हूँ उसी मकान में,  
 मकान मालिक आशीष कुमार मुखर्जी व उनके पत्नी  
 आशीष बहनी व उनके दो भाई व एक जगका लड़  
 है. उनका मेरे भाड़ा काने से पहले इनके  
 रास्ता कि जमीन को लेकर झगड़ा था रिजिड पाल  
 रहा था! आशीष मुखर्जी (मकान मालिक) Dog  
 Lover होने के वजह से एक street Dog  
 को घर में रखते थे! इसी Dog को लेकर 13.10.19  
 को झगड़ा हुआ उसी समय में देखने जपा मे  
 मेरे व मेरे परिवार के खोले जाले गले प व  
 मारपीट आशीष बहनी व उनके भाई व लड़के ने  
 किया!

इसी तर्जुमा को लेकर हम भाग आए  
 हमारे जाने के बाद परिवार पक्ष का भाग  
 आए, जब हम लोग Duty OFFICER के पास  
 Report कर रहे थे उसी समय उन लोगों ने पंटी भी  
 उतार. समय का दिया. उसी समय Mr. MATS Dalai



my OFFICER ने, MANAS SIR ने एक दोनो पक्ष को भाग में अटक कर रख दिया और रात 1 बजे भाग ले छोड़ दिया गया।

इसी क्वॉटर पर छोड़ा गया कि एक लोग 7/964 में अं कोई असंत नहीं करेगी।

बसके बाद दो दोनो पक्ष को 107 case में रिप गया। वह case माननीय न्यायालय में चल रहा है बाह सच है इस दिन G.D. OFFICER Mr. MANU Dala ने हमारे साथ (पत्नी सहित) बहुत ट्रैन्सपेरेंट किया व

बिना कोई कारण बताए मुझे LOCK UP में डाल कर दिया। उनके आचरण से दो बहुत मानसिक आपदा लगा।


किन्तु इस घटना के बाद Police case होने के

19 साल पहले शान्त रहे है। प्रशासन के रूप में कोई झगडा गरी हो रहा है। इस घटना के बाद MANAS Dala को TRASPARE कही हो गया है। एक Police के दस्तखत से वर्तमान में हम शान्त से रह रहे है Business कर रहे हैं।

हम Police प्रशासन से भरी आशा करते है कि 7/96 में भी हमारे साथ सहयोग व स्थान रहेगा।

धन्यवाद आपका आभारी Santosh Kanwar  
Karan Singh Rajwade Raj Arora

Copy to  
D.C. Sir Kanksa



- a) Name of the person arrested with father's name
- b) Sex (Male/Female)
- c) Age (Approx)
- d) Residential Address
- e) Name of relative/friend whom the person taken in custody intends to inform
- 2. a) Date and time of arrest
- b) Place of arrest
- c) Notification of date and time of arrest and place of detention to the friend/relative (if outside the town through Legal Aid Organisation and local PS concerned within 8 to 12 hours of arrest) this is not required if the Same person is the witness.
- 3. Case Reference with sections of law
- 4. GD Entry No. (to be made at the place of detention disclosing the name of the next friend of the person informed of the arrest and the particulars of the Police official in whose custody the arrestee is)
- 5. Name of the PS/Interrogation Centre/Place of detention
- 6. Name and designation of the officer apprehending the suspect and taking the suspect in Police custody
- 7. Registration No. of vehicle (if used during the arrest)
- 8. Forum/Court where to be produced
- 9. Date and time of production in Court
- 10. Signature of the witness (either a member of family or a respectable person of the locality)
- 11. Counter signature of the arrestee

Ashish mukherjee s/o Chittaranjan mukherjee  
 Male / Female male  
 47 years  
 Vill - Kankla Kankla P.S., Dist - Paschim Bardhaman

on - 10-10-19 at - 16:15 hrs  
 At - Kankla P.

Kankla P. I.B.E. No - 549 dt - 13/10/19  
 U.S - 151 Cr.P.C.

Kankla P.  
 DSI - Manoj Kr. Dahi  
 Ld. D.C.J.M. Court Durgapur.  
 - Ashish Mukherjee

Manoj Kr. Dahi  
 DSI of Police  
 Kankla P.  
 Signature of the Memo issuing officer with date and place of issue

Note : 1. The Memo must be attested by at least one-witness, who may either be a member of the family of the arrestee or a respectable person of the locality from where the arrest is made.  
 2. One friend or relative or other person known to the person arrested or having interest in his welfare must be informed, as soon as possible, that he has been arrested and is being detained at such and such place.

Copy forwarded to.....  
 Magistrate .....for favour of information.

MEMO OF ARREST

ANNEXURE 'A'

2109

43



1. Name of the person arrested (with father's name) : Joydeep Dhalakoti Sangari Bhakut

2. a) Sex : Male / Female male

b) Age (approx) : Age - 26

d) Residential Address : Vill - Penegraph Paper office Para  
P.O - Kenkule Dist - Paschim Bardhaman

3. c) Name of relative/friend whom the person taken in custody intends to inform

4. a) Date and time of arrest : on - 13-10-19 at 16-15 hrs

b) Place of arrest : Pt - Kenkule Po.

c) Notification of date and time of arrest and place of detention to the friend/relative (if outside the town through Legal Aid Organisation and local PS concerned within 8 to 12 hours of arrest) this is not required if the Same person is the witness.

5. Case Reference with sections of law : Kenkule Po L.O. No - 549 dt - 19/10/19

6. GD Entry No. (to be made at the place of detention disclosing the name of the next friend of the person informed of the arrest and the particulars of the Police official in whose custody the arrestee is) : U.C. - 151 Cr.P.C.

7. Name of the PS/Interrogation Centre/Place of detention : Kenkule Po

8. Name and designation of the officer apprehending the suspect and taking the suspect in Police custody : ASI - M. K. Dahi

9. Registration No. of vehicle (if used during the arrest)

10. Forum/Court where to be produced : L.D. A.C. S.M. Court Durgapur

11. Date and time of production in Court

12. Signature of the witness (either a member of family or a respectable person of the locality) : 07/28/19 J. DHALAKOTI

13. Counter signature of the arrestee

Certified that the person arrested has been informed of his right to have someone informed of his arrest or detention.

Signature of the Memo issuing officer with date, designation : Mansu Pr. Dahi  
PSI of Police  
Kenkule Po.

Note: 1. The Memo must be attested by at least one-witness, who may either be a member of the family of the arrestee or a respectable person of the locality from where the arrest is made.

2. One friend or relative or other person known to the person arrested or having interest in his welfare must be informed, as soon as possible, that he has been arrested and is being detained at such and such place.


Copy forwarded to.....

Magistrate .....for favour of information.

44

12

2110



Name of the person arrested (with father's name) : Ashish Denerjee (he) s/o Ajit Denerjee

Sex : Male / Female Male

Age (approx) : 48 years

Residential Address : Vill - Kankle Koned Per. Kankle Dist. Porchim Perdhanu

Name of relative/friend whom the person taken in custody intends to inform :

2. a) Date and time of arrest : On-13-10-19 at - 16.15 hrs

b) Place of arrest : At Kankle P.

c) Notification of date and time of arrest and place of detention to the friend/relative (if outside the town through Legal Aid Organisation and local PS concerned within 8 to 12 hours of arrest) this is not required if the Same person is the witness.

3. Case Reference with sections of law : Kankle P. P.O.F No-599 dt-12-10-19 U.S. 151 Cr.P.C.

4. GD Entry No. (to be made at the place of detention disclosing the name of the next friend of the person informed of the arrest and the particulars of the Police official in whose custody the arrestee is)

5. Name of the PS/Interrogation Centre/Place of detention : Kankle P.

6. Name and designation of the officer apprehending the suspect and taking the suspect in Police custody : PSI - Mansur. Doshi

7. Registration No. of vehicle (if used during the arrest)

8. Forum/Court where to be produced : Hl. A. S. M. Durgapur court

9. Date and time of production in Court

10. Signature of the witness (either a member of family or a respectable person of the locality) : Asish Banerjee

11. Counter signature of the arrestee

Certified that the person arrested has been informed of his right to have someone informed of his arrest or detention.

Mansur Doshi  
PSI of Police  
Signature of the Memo Issuing officer with date, designation

Note : 1. The Memo must be attested by at least one-witness, who may either be a member of the family of the arrestee or a respectable person of the locality from where the arrest is made.

2. One friend or relative or other person known to the person arrested or having interest in his welfare must be informed, as soon as possible, that he has been arrested and is being detained at such and such place

Copy forwarded to.....

Magistrate ..... for favour of information

MEMO OF ARREST

ANNEXURE 'A'

2111



a) Name of the person arrested (with father's name) : Mukhi dhar Doneyjee s/o Ajit Doneyjee

b) Sex : Male / Female Male

c) Age (approx) : Age - 41 years

d) Residential Address : Vill - Konkla Kanel Per P. Konkla Dist - Pochim

Name of relative/friend whom the person taken in custody intends to inform : Dardhman

2. a) Date and time of arrest : on - 13-10-19 at 16.15 hrs

b) Place of arrest : At - Konkla P.

c) Notification of date and time of arrest and place of detention to the friend/relative (if outside the town through Legal Aid Organisation and local PS concerned within 8 to 12 hours of arrest) this is not required if the Same person is the witness.

3. Case Reference with sections of law : Konkla P. P. B.E NO - 549 dt 18/10/19

4. GD Entry No. (to be made at the place of detention disclosing the name of the next friend of the person informed of the arrest and the particulars of the Police official in whose custody the arrestee is) : U/S - 151 Cr.Pc.

5. Name of the PS/Interrogation Centre/Place of detention : At - Konkla P.

6. Name and designation of the officer apprehending the suspect and taking the suspect in Police custody : ASI - Manoj Kr. Dhar

7. Registration No. of vehicle (if used during the arrest) :

8. Forum/Court where to be produced : H. A.C. J. M Durgapur Court

9. Date and time of production in Court :

10. Signature of the witness (either a member of family or a respectable person of the locality) : Mukhi Dhar Doneyjee

11. Counter signature of the arrestee :  
 Certified that the person arrested has been informed of his right to have someone informed of his arrest or detention.  
 Manoj Kr. Dhar  
 PSI of Police  
 Konkla P.


Signature of the Memo issuing officer with date, designation

Note : 1. The Memo must be attested by at least one-witness, who may either be a member of the family of the arrestee or a respectable person of the locality from where the arrest is made.


2. One friend or relative or other person known to the person arrested or having interest in his welfare must be informed, as soon as possible, that he has been arrested and is being detained at such and such place.

Copy forwarded to.....

Magistrate .....for favour of information.



- 1. a) Name of the person arrested (with father's name) : Sukumar Banerjee s/o Ajit Banerjee
- b) Sex : Male / Female Male
- c) Age (approx) : Age - 41 yrs
- d) Residential Address : Vill - Kankasa Koned P.O. - Kankasa
- e) Name of relative/friend whom the person taken in custody intends to inform : Ditt - Pofchim Dardhman
- 2. a) Date and time of arrest : on - 13-10-17 at - 16.15 hrs
- b) Place of arrest : at - Kankasa P.O.
- c) Notification of date and time of arrest and place of detention to the friend/relative (if outside the town through Legal Aid Organisation and local PS concerned within 8 to 12 hours of arrest) this is not required if the Same person is the witness.
- 3. Case Reference with sections of law : Kankasa P.O. C.B.F. No - 549 at - 13/10/17
- 4. GD Entry No. (to be made at the place of detention disclosing the name of the next friend of the person informed of the arrest and the particulars of the Police official in whose custody the arrestee is) : 15-151 Cr.P.C.
- 5. Name of the PS/Interrogation Centre/Place of detention : Kankasa P.O.
- 6. Name and designation of the officer apprehending the suspect and taking the suspect in Police custody : PSI - Mansur Basha
- 7. Registration No. of vehicle (if used during the arrest) :
- 8. Forum/Court where to be produced : H. A. C. J. M and Durg P.O.
- 9. Date and time of production in Court :
- 10. Signature of the witness (either a member of family or a respectable person of the locality) :
- 11. Counter signature of the arrestee : I, Sukumar Banerjee

  
 Certified that the person arrested has been informed of his right to have someone informed of his arrest or detention.  
 Mansur Basha  
 Kankasa P.O.  
 Pofchim Dardhman  
 Signature of the Member issuing officer with date, designation

- Note : 1. The Memo must be attested by at least one-witness, who may either be a member of the family of the arrestee or a respectable person of the locality from where the arrest is made.
2. One friend or relative or other person known to the person arrested or having interest in his welfare must be informed, as soon as possible, that he has been arrested and is being detained at such and such place.

Copy forwarded to.....

Magistrate .....for favour of information.

MEMO OF ARREST

ANNEXURE 'A'  
2111

47



a) Name of the person arrested (with father's name) : Kachon Singh Sp. Tal Singh

b) Sex : Male / Female *ml*

c) Age (approx) : *47 years*

d) Residential Address : *H/P. Achhih Daulchore of Kankha Kenedar*  
: *K. Kankha Dist. Patkhar Dardhman*

e) Name of relative/friend whom the person taken in custody intends to inform

2. a) Date and time of arrest : *04-12-19 at 16:15*  
b) Place of arrest : *Kankha Re*  
c) Notification of date and time of arrest and place of detention to the friend/relative (if outside the town through Legal Aid Organisation and local PS concerned within 8 to 12 hours of arrest) this is not required if the Same person is the witness.

3. Case Reference with sections of law : *Kankha Re. C.V.F no - 549 dt. 18/10/17*  
: *U.S - C.S Cr.P.L.*

4. GD Entry No. (to be made at the place of detention disclosing the name of the next friend of the person informed of the arrest and the particulars of the Police official in whose custody the arrestee is)

5. Name of the PS/Interrogation Centre/Place of detention : *Kankha Re.*

6. Name and designation of the officer apprehending the suspect and taking the suspect in Police custody : *Asst. Mond. Mr. Debu*

7. Registration No. of vehicle (if used during the arrest) :

8. Forum/Court where to be produced : *Ld. A.C.J.M. court Durgapur*

9. Date and time of production in Court :


10. Signature of the witness (either a member of family or a respectable person of the locality) : *Kachon Singh*

11. Counter signature of the arrestee :  
Certified that the person arrested has been informed of his right to have someone informed of his arrest or detention.  
*Mond Mr. Debu*  
*Off. of Police*  
*Kankha Re.*  
Signature of the Memo issuing officer with date & designation

Note : 1. The Memo must be attested by at least one-witness, who may either be a member of the family of the arrestee or a respectable person of the locality from where the arrest is made.  
2. One friend or relative or other person known to the person arrested or having interest in his welfare must be informed, as soon as possible, that he has been arrested and is being detained at such and such place.

Copy forwarded to.....  
Magistrate .....for favour of information.

48



Name of the person arrested (with father's name) : Sukumar Banerjee sb Ajit Banerjee <sup>2112</sup>

Sex : Male / Female Male

Age (approx) : Age - 41 years

Residential Address : w/o - Kankhal Kankhal Per Kankhal

Name of relative/friend whom the person taken in custody intends to inform : Ditt. Poochim Dardkimen

2. a) Date and time of arrest : on - 13-10-17 at - 16.15 hrs

b) Place of arrest : at - Kankhal P.

c) Notification of date and time of arrest and place of detention to the friend/relative (if outside the town through Legal Aid Organisation and local PS concerned within 8 to 12 hours of arrest) this is not required if the Same person is the witness.

3. Case Reference with sections of law : Kankhal P. G.D.F no - 549 dt - 12/10/17

4. GD Entry No. (to be made at the place of detention disclosing the name of the next friend of the person informed of the arrest and the particulars of the Police official in whose custody the arrestee is) : 15-151 Cr.P.C.

5. Name of the PS/Interrogation Centre/Place of detention : Kankhal P.

6. Name and designation of the officer apprehending the suspect and taking the suspect in Police custody : ASI - Mansur Khan

7. Registration No. of vehicle (if used during the arrest) :

8. Forum/Court where to be produced : Ad. A.C.S.M court Durgapet

9. Date and time of production in Court :

10. Signature of the witness (either a member of family or a respectable person of the locality) :

11. Counter signature of the arrestee : I of Sukumar Banerjee  
 Certified that the person arrested has been informed of his right to have someone informed of his arrest or detention. Mansur Khan  
Kankhal P.  
Poochim Dardkimen  
 Signature of the Memo issuing officer with date, designation

Note : 1. The Memo must be attested by at least one-witness, who may either be a member of the family of the arrestee or a respectable person of the locality from where the arrest is made.

2. One friend or relative or other person known to the person arrested or having interest in his welfare must be informed, as soon as possible, that he has been arrested and is being detained at such and such place.

Copy forwarded to.....

Magistrate .....for favour of information.



BAIL BOND

Ref: Kanksa P.S. GDE No. 549 N.C.P. No. \_\_\_\_\_

Dated 22/10/19 U.S. 131 C.P.C.

I, Shree Anupam Shyam s/o Santosh Shyam of Rajkumari

P.S- Kanksa Dist-Paschim Bardhaman. Do hereby stand surety for the below noted person, arrested by

police on condition to produce them before the court of I.J. A.C.J.M. At Durgapur on

04/02/20 at 10.00 a.m in connection with the above noted case in difficulty, I shall be

liable to pay a fine of Rs. 200 (Rupees Two Hundred) to the state for each accused.

Name of the accused persons.

- ① Ashish Mukherjee (47)  
S/O Chittorajon Mukherjee  
of Kankai Kandi P.S.  
Pt. Kankai Dist  
Paschim Bardhaman
- ② Joydeep Bhattacharya (46)  
s/o Songali Bhattacharya  
A. Pinogochi Bazar  
officer P.S. Kankai  
Dist. Paschim Bardhaman
- ③ Kanchan Singh (47)  
s/o. Tol Singh s/o. Ashish Mukherjee  
P.S. Kankai of Kankai Kandi P.S.

Anupam Shyam  
Signature of the Surety

A  
T  
T

of Ashish Mukherjee

A  
T  
T

of Joydeep Bhattacharya

A  
T  
T

of Kanchan Singh

P.R. BOND

50

211

Ref: Kanksa P.S. GDE No. 547 N.C.R. No. \_\_\_\_\_

Dated 13/10/19 WS 151 P.P.C

I/We, undersigned accused persons being arrested by police, do hereby promise to appear before the court of I.d. A.C.J.M. At Durgapur on 04/01/20 at 10.00 a.m/p.m in connection with above noted case failing which I/ We, shall be liable to pay a fine of Rs 200 (Rupees Two Hundred) each to the State.

Signature of accused persons.

?

BAIL BOND

Ref: Kanksa P.S. GDE No. 57/9 N.C.R. No. \_\_\_\_\_

Dated 13/10/19 U/S 151 Cr.P.C

I, Shree Anupam Shyam son Santosh Shyam of Rajkumari

P.S. Kanksa Dist-Paschim Bardhaman. Do hereby stand surety for the below noted person, arrested by

police on condition to produce them before the court of I.d. A.C.J.M. At Durgapur on

04/12/20 at 10.00 a.m in connection with the above noted case in difficulty, I shall be

liable to pay a fine of Rs. 200 (Rupees Two Hundred) to the state for each accused.

Name of the accused persons.

Anupam Shyam  
Signature of the Surety

- ① Ashish Benerjee (48)  
S/o Ajit Benerjee
  - ② Sukumar Benerjee (43)  
S/o Ajit Benerjee
  - ③ mundicher Benerjee (41)  
S/o Ajit Benerjee
- All of them are bonded for  
PT - Kanksa Dist. Paschim  
Bardhaman

P.R. BOND

Ref: Kanksa P.S. GDE No. 549 N.C.R. No. \_\_\_\_\_

Dated 12/10/19 U/S 151 C.B.P.C.

I/We, undersigned accused persons being arrested by police, do hereby promise to appear before the court of I.d. A.C.J.M. At Durgapur on 04/02/2020 at 10.00 a.m/p.m in connection with above noted case filing which I/We, shall be liable to pay a fine of Rs 200 (Rupees Two Hundred) each to the State.

Signature of accused persons.

1  
1  
1  
1  
1

of Hshish Dorega

of Sulamer Dorega

1  
1  
1  
of Makshidhar Dorega

53

27

Gmail

Pg Cell <pgcelladpc@gmail.cc

Fwd: WBHRC: NOTICE

Shri Ajay Kumar Thakur, IPS <cpadpc@gmail.com>

Fri, Aug 27, 2021 at 3:43

To: "SI Mohini Mohan Hota, OC Pass & PG Cell" <pgcelladpc@gmail.com>, "Angshuman Saha, IPS" <dcphqadpc@gmail.com>, "ACP (HQ) ADPC" <acphqadpc@gmail.com>

Commissioner of Police,  
Asansol Durgapur,  
5, Evelyn Lodge, Kumarpur,  
P.O. CHELIDANGA,  
Asansol - 713 304. W. B.  
Phone - 0341 225 7260 ( TELEFAX)  
Email : cp@asansoldurgapurpolice.in  
Website : www.asansoldurgapurpolice.in

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Human Rights Commission West Bengal <hrcwb2013@gmail.com>

Date: Fri, Aug 27, 2021 at 3:22 PM

Subject: WBHRC: NOTICE

To: Commissioner of Police Asansol Durgapur <cpadpc@gmail.com>

Respected Sir/Madam,

Please find attached herewith.

Thanks & Regards,  
West Bengal Human Rights Commission

581-25-25-2020.pdf  
995K

Recd - 185/HRC/21  
dt 27.8.21

54



WEST BENGAL HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION  
PURTA BHAVAN, 2ND FLOOR, BLOCK-DF, SECTOR - I, SALT LAKE CITY KOLKATA, Pin Code : 700091  
Tel : 033 2337-2655 Fax : 033 2337-9633  
Email ID : hrowb2013@gmail.com, Website : http://www.wbhrc.nic.in

Case No. 581/25/25/2020

26 August 2021.

NOTICE

To  
THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE  
ASANSOL DURGAPUR

WHEREAS the complaint/intimation dated 16/10/2019 received from SANTOSH KANWAR RAJPUROHIT in respect of SANTOSH KANWAR RAJPUROHIT was placed before the Bench of Justice G.C. Gupta on 26/08/2021.

AND WHEREAS upon perusing the complaint the Commission has passed the following order.

*The petitioner accompanied by her husband and minor child went to the police seeking protection. The police took the husband of the petitioner in custody along with the male members of the opposite party throwing to the wind, the law of the land, unspoken number of judgements delivered by the Apex Court, guidelines issued from time to time to safeguard the human rights of the citizens. The aforesaid act of the police found approval of the assistant commissioner of police who in his report dated 10 August 2020 concluded "the allegations made by the petitioner..... Could not be substantiated" which was communicated to the commission by the CP by his letter dated 1 December 2020.*

*The commission is of the prima facie opinion that the human rights of both the parties were grossly violated by the officer in uniform which has been blatantly supported and/or encouraged by the Asst Commissioner of police. Both the aforesaid officers are liable to be proceeded against unless proper explanation backed by documentary evidence justifying the arrest is furnished by 15 September 2021 to the commission.*

*The assistant secretary is directed to produce this file to me together with report/explanation if any received from the aforesaid officers on 20 September 2021 without fail. The order be communicated to the CP Asansol Durgapur who shall be liable to communicate this order to the concerned officers indicated above. A copy of the order be also communicated to the petitioner forthwith.*

*The joint secretary is directed to supervise the proceedings and compliance with this order.*

NOW THEREFORE TAKE NOTICE that you are required to submit the requisite information / Report within 3 weeks from the date of receipt of this notice.

OTC P.G. cel  
26/08/21

55

27

TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that in default the Bench / Commission may proceed to take such action as it deems proper.

Given under my hand and seal of the Commission, this the day of 26 August 2021.

(BY ORDER)

Registrar/Dy. Registrar/Asst. Secy

Encl: Copy of the complaint.

Note → 1. The information / report shall be furnished only by the authority which is called upon to do so.

2. Please quote the Case No. referred above in all future correspondence / reports.

CC to:

Case No. 581/25/25/2020  
SANTOSH KANWAR RAJPUROHIT  
CANELPAR, NEW KANKSA ROAD, PANAGARH BAZAR,  
P.S- KANKSA PO- PANAGARH W/BURDWAN 713 148  
PASHCHIM BARDHMAN, WEST BENGAL.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar/Asst. Secy

Order passed after considering the report

(56)

- 7 -

West Bengal  
Human Rights  
Commission

File number 581/25/25/2020

21.09.2021

A photo copy of the report dated 17 September 2021 prepared by the Asst Commissioner of police, Kanksa, was forwarded to the commission under the cover of another photo copy of a letter dated 20 September 2021 appearing to have been signed by CP, Asansol-Durgapur annexing thereto 29 sheets of which pages 6 to 13 containing orders passed by the learned Magistrate are altogether illegible. Rest of the sheets, all photocopies, are somehow readable. It is surprising that the report of the ACP and the covering letter of the CP are also photo copies. The name of the author of the aforesaid report has also not been mentioned.

The CP Asansol-Durgapur is directed to produce the original documents for inspection by the commission on or before 4 October 2021. Legible copies of the orders of the learned Magistrate should also be furnished. The concerned ASI and the concerned ACP who prepared the report dated 10 August 2020 and 17 September 2021 are directed to appear for examination peremptorily fixed on 25 October 2021 at 2 PM.


Both the Id registrar and Deputy registrar are directed to assist the chairperson.



(Justice Girish Chandra Gupta)

Chairperson.

Initial note of 01.11.2021 appeared in Page '6'

  
1.11.2021



Third  
report  
dated  
20.09.21

2424/CP/2021

(57)

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE  
ASANSOL - DURGAPUR  
(Telephone: 0341-225 7962), Email: [cpadpc@gmail.com](mailto:cpadpc@gmail.com).

Memo No. 252 /HRC/PG Cell/CP/ADPC

Dated 04 / 10 / 2021

To  
The Deputy Secretary,  
West Bengal Human Rights Commission,  
Purta Bhavan (2<sup>nd</sup> Floor),  
Block - DF, Sector-I, Salt Lake,  
Kolkata - 700091.



Ref: Your office Case No 581/25/25/2020 dated 23.09.2021


Sub: Legible copies of the order of the Ld. Magistrate

S.O.  
Pl. Put up with relevant


Asst. Sec

1. Please refer to the above.
2. The order sheet has been served to 1) Shri Srimanta Kumar Bandopadhyay , A.C.P ( Kanksa), 2) ASI Manas Kumar Dalui formerly of Kanksa PS to appear before the commission on 25.10.2021
3. In this connection, the explanation submitted by Shri Srimanta Kumar Bandopadhyay, Asst. Commissioner of Police (Kanksa) duly forwarded by Dy. Commissioner of Police, Zone-I, (East) Asansol- Durgapur Police Commissionerate on the above subject matter was sent to your office through gmail address vide [hrrswb2013@gmail.com](mailto:hrrswb2013@gmail.com) on 20.09.2021 vide this office Memo No.229/HRC/PG Cell/CP/ADPC dated 20.09.2021.
4. An original copy of the same with my original signature also was sent to your office by messenger, which was received by your office on 20.09.2021. Copy of receipt is enclosed herewith for your kind information.
5. However, the documents, along with legible copies of order of Ld Magistrate, submitted by Shri Srimanta Kumar Bandopadhyay, Asst. Commissioner of Police (Kanksa) duly forwarded by Dy. Commissioner of Police, Zone-I, (East) Asansol- Durgapur Police Commissionerate is enclosed herewith for your kind perusal.

Enclosure: As stated above.

  
Commissioner of Police  
Asansol - Durgapur  
Commissioner of Police  
Asansol-Durgapur

58/8



To  
The Commissioner of Police  
Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate  
Paschim Bardhaman

Through Proper Channel

Ref:- WBHRC Case No. 581/25/25/2000 & your good office memo no. 249/HRC/PG  
Cell/CP/ADPC Dt. 01.10.2021

Sub:- Legible copies of the orders of the Ld. Magistrate.

Sir,

With due respect and humble submission in obedience with the above noted reference, I am furnishing herewith the Legible copies of the order of Ld. Magistrate, as follows :-

1. The entire copies related with M.P Case No. 1177/19 and NCR No. 704/19 against  
(1) Asish Banerjee @ Ashit (48 Yrs) S/o- Ajit Banerjee of Kanksa Canel Par, P.S-Kanksa, Dist-Paschim Bardhaman  
(2) Sukumar Banerjee (43 Yrs) S/o- Ajit Banerjee of Do.  
(3) Muktidhar Banerjee (41 Yrs) S/o- Ajit Banerjee of Do.

Containing Page No. 01 to 18.

2. The entire copies related with M.P Case No. 1178/19 and NCR No. 705/19 against  
(1) Asish Mukherjee @ Ashit (47 Yrs) S/o- Lt. Chittaranjan  
(2) Kahan Singh (47 Yrs) S/o- Tol Singh both of Both of Kanksa Canel Par, P.S-Kanksa, Dist-Paschim Bardhaman  
(3) Joydeb Bhagat S/o- Jangali Bhagat of Panagarh Bazar, Officepara, P.S-Kanksa, Dist-Paschim Bardhaman.

Containing Page No. 01 to 24.

FORWARDED

*ant*  
03/10/2021

Dy. C. P. ZONE-1 (EAST)  
ASANSOL - DURGAPUR  
POLICE COMMISSIONERATE

1811/E/Dep(East)  
03-10-2021,

Yours faithfully,

*[Signature]*  
2/10/2021

(ACP, Kanksa, ADPC)  
Asst. Commissioner of Police  
Kanksa

Asansol-Durgapur Police Commissionerate  
Dist-Paschim Bardhaman

DR - 1760/21

Dt - 02.10.2021

DT 20.9.2021



To  
The Assistant Secretary  
West Bengal Human Rights  
Commission,  
Purata Bhawan (2nd Floor)  
Block-DF, Sector-1  
Salt Lake  
Kolkata - 700091

From:- Commissioner of  
Police  
A.D.P.C  
P.G. cell, Asansol - 713304.

WEST BENGAL  
20 SEP 2021  
20.09.21

Qc P.G. cell Phno - 9434578838

ORDER - SHEET FOR MAGISTRATE'S RECORDS

IN THE COURT OF THE SPECIAL EXECUTIVE MAGISTRATE OF DURGAPUR.

N.C.R. NO: 704/19.

U/S- 107/116(3) Cr.P. C.

MP CASE NO: 1177/19

Serial no. of order	Date	Order with Signature of the Magistrate	Office notes as to taken on order (if any date)
1.	23.10.19  DR-24/10/19	<p>Seen the PR of <u>Kankra PS</u> vide NCR No <u>704/19</u> dt. <u>16/10/19</u> in which it is started that</p> <p>① Ashit Banerjee &amp; Arish Banerjee S/o - Ajit Banerjee of Kankra canal para, PS - Kankra Dist: Paschim Bardhaman.</p> <p>② Sukumar Banerjee S/o - Ajit Banerjee of DO</p> <p>③ Mukhtar Banerjee S/o - Ajit Banerjee of DO.</p> <p>is/are creating disturbance and prays for drawn up proceeding u/s 107/116 (C) against him/ them. Having regard to the material on the record I am of the opinion that there is ground exist for proceeding, Hence proceeding u/s 107 CrPC is drawn. OP/ Ops is/are here by directed to appear before this Court and file show Cause on <u>26.11.19</u> Why he/ they should not be order to execute on furnishing bond of Rs. <u>1000/-</u> each with one surety of like amount for maintaining peace for the period of one year. Summons to be serve upon the ops through OIC <u>Kankra PS</u></p>	<p><del>26-11-19</del> <del>27-12-19</del> <del>03-04-20</del> <del>5-7-20</del> <del>27-11-20</del> <del>29-1-21</del> <del>5-3-21</del> <del>21-5-21</del> <del>13-08-21</del> <del>03-12-21</del></p>


Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR

2

22-11-19

Record  
 the way off put up petition.  
 O.P. member 1 to 3 appeared before  
 this court and pray for accept the  
 bail bond and. Show cause on  
 the grounds stated therein. Ed. Lawyer  
 of O.P.'s submission today. Hd court.  
 bail bond and. Show cause which  
 are hereby accepted. - Issue summons  
 upon the complaint  
 Fix 27/12-19 for evidence

R-2644/19



*[Signature]*  
 22/11/19

3

27-12-19

O.P. member 1 to 3 file Rangia. Metruff  
 Ashit Mukherjee @ Ashis Mukherjee as PWS  
 exam and. cross exam in part and deferred.  
 on the prayer of O.P.'s lawyer the case is adjod  
 fix 3-4-20 for evidence

1  
31.7.20

Record is put up today  
 as per fixed date was pending.  
 fix 27.11.20 for evidence.

*[Signature]*  
 27/11/19

5  
27.11.20

no steps taken by O.P.  
 fix 22.1.21 in app. of O.P.

*[Signature]*  
 27/11/20

6  
22.1.21

no steps taken by O.P.  
 fix 5.3.21 in app. of O.P.

*[Signature]*

ORDER - SHEET FOR MAGISTRATE'S RECORDS

DISTRICT BURDWAN



IN THE COURT OF

OF DURGAPUR / ASANSOL

M.P. No. 1177/19

of 20

Versus

Serial No. of order	Date	Order with Signature of the Magistrate	Office notes as to action taken on order (if any and date)
7	5.3.21	No steps taken by OP R/o 21.5.21 in app of OP 	
8.	21.05.21	due to lock down. R/o: 13.08.21 for app.	
9.	13.08.21	O.P. take no step. R/o: 03.12.21 for app. 	

REPORT FOR PROSECUTION IN CASES IN WHICH NON FIRST INFORMATION REPORT IS USED

Ref: - Kanksa P.S GDE No. 546 Dated 13.10.19 & Enquiry Kanksa PS GDE No. 645 Dated 16.10.19 U/S 107/116(3) Cr.P.C.  
 DISTRICT...Paschim Bardhaman (P.R.B.From No. 41 Vide Rule 220) POLICE STATION...Kanksa

Serial No.	Complainant or Informant	Name of address of accused (Note: if Sent up in custody or on bail. If on bail the bail bond should be attached.)	Date of arrest	Date of Hearing	Brief description of offence with date and place of occurrence and section of law. <b>U/S 107/116 (3) Cr.P.C</b>	Name and address of witness.	Result of trial with the name of the trying Magistrate.	Remarks
Ref. Kanksa PS NCR No. 704/19 Dated 16.10.19	ASI. Manas Kr. Daiti of Kanksa P.S On behalf of state govt. of West Bengal	1. Ashit Banerjee (48) S/o- Ajit Banerjee of Kanksa Canel Par P.S- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman, 2. Sukumar Banerjee (43) S/o- Ajit Banerjee of Do. 3. Muktidhar Banerjee (41) S/o- Ajit Banerjee of Do.	Not arrested	May kindly be fixed by Id. Court.	<p>The fact of the case in brief is that on 13.10.19 at 14.15 hours one Ashit Mukherjee S/o- Chittaranjan Mukherjee of Kanksa Canelpar P.S-Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman came to P.S and reported that on the O.P member noted in col No. 03 are used filthy languages to him and threatened over the issue of previous grudge. Over this information Kanksa P.S GDE No. 546 dated 13.10.19 has been lodged and as per endorsement of I.C Kanksa P.S I took up its enquiry.</p> <p>During enquiry I had been to the village Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa, Dist- Paschim Bardhaman and enquired the matter locally. During enquiry it could be learnt that the fact is true. Further enquiry it could be learnt that O.P person are very rough and quarrel in nature. Their activities create there a serious breach of peace.</p> <p>So, I therefore pray before your honours court that 107 Cr.P.C may kindly be prosecuted against O.P member noted in col No. 03 to prevent cog offence. Another I pray before your honours court that bound down them 116 (C) Cr.P.C to curve down their such activities.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Submitted By  <i>Mones Kr. Daiti</i>                      A.S.I of Police                      Kanksa P.S                      16/10/19</p>	<p><b>Complainant:-</b> Ashit Mukherjee S/o- Chittaranjan Mukherjee of P.S Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa, Dist- Paschim Bardhaman</p> <p><b>Name of Witnesses -</b></p> <p>1. Joydeep Bhagat S/o- Jangali Bhagat of Panagarh Bazar, Officepara P.S- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman.                      2. Kahan Singh S/o- Tol Singh of Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa Dist- Bwn(W)</p>	<p style="text-align: right;"><i>(Signature)</i>                      Special Executive Magistrate                      District Kanksa</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">(X)</p>

Inspector in Charge  
 Kanksa Police Station  
 D.D.C. Bardhaman  
 21-10-19  
 DRM 8738



(64)

Amit Banerjee & Ashis  
Kumar Banerjee 90  
Pr  
Bijahan  
Amit Banerjee

5

Inte leway SEL Exam at Durga Pur

Rep. - NGR(E) No-1177/19

WS. 107 C.R.P.C

State vs ① Amit Banerjee & Ashis  
Kumar Banerjee

- ② Sankar Banerjee
- ③ Muktihar Banerjee

Date fixed  
26.11.19

Put up listion

~~...~~

~~...~~


Seen

Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR

...

3 - 27/11/19





(65)

X Asit Paneria @ Ashis  
Kumar Paneria 93

BY 1 B. Jahan.

Asit Kote

In the Court of Sd/- Exec. M. at Durgapur

Rep. - NGR(E) No - 1177/19

Ms. 107 CR.P.C

State vs. Asit Paneria @ Ashis

Kumar Paneria

- ② Sukumar Paneria
- ③ Mukti Mohan Paneria

Writ Petition by Counselor

श्री अशोक कुमार झा व श्री अशोक  
 कुमार झा  
 श्री अशोक कुमार झा व श्री अशोक  
 कुमार झा  
 श्री अशोक कुमार झा व श्री अशोक  
 कुमार झा  
 श्री अशोक कुमार झा व श्री अशोक  
 कुमार झा  
 श्री अशोक कुमार झा व श्री अशोक  
 कुमार झा  
 श्री अशोक कुमार झा व श्री अशोक  
 कुमार झा  
 श्री अशोक कुमार झा व श्री अशोक  
 कुमार झा

Seen  
Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR

श्री अशोक कुमार झा व श्री अशोक  
 कुमार झा  
 श्री अशोक कुमार झा व श्री अशोक  
 कुमार झा  
 श्री अशोक कुमार झा व श्री अशोक  
 कुमार झा

Ms. 107 CR.P.C



Ashish Kumar Banerjee  
Asit Banerjee



L.T. d. at  
Sukumar Banerjee  
Muktidhar Banerjee

Dr. Rajan  
Advocate

In the Court of Ld. Spl. Exe. Magistrate at Durgapur.

Ref. N.G.R(E) No - 1177/19.

- U/s - 109 of Cr.P.C.

State

-vs-

- ① Asit Banerjee.
- ② Ashish Kumar Banerjee.
- ③ Sukumar Banerjee.
- ④ Muktidhar Banerjee.

ACCEPTED

Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR


CAUSE ~~AND~~ SHOWN BY THE ACCUSED PERSON.

The above named accused persons are beg to state as follows:-

1) That the above named accused persons have appeared in this suit by filling Vakalatnama and also files a petition prays for bail.

2) That the above named accused persons are law-abiding and peace loving citizen of India and they are not connected any offence as alleged by the police personnel on the specific date and time.

3) That accused No-1 is a serviceman and on the specific date he was on-duty and for this reason, they were not present at the time and date as alleged by the police.



Ashish Kumar Banerjee ©  
Ashit Banerjee



L. T. J. at

Sukumar Banerjee

MUCHA Chandra Banerjee

by

T. B. Baner

Advocate

67

4) That the accused persons are falsely impleaded in this case and they are innocent and they never take the law in their own hands.


5) That however, they are willing to contest with the suit and prays for bail before your Honour's Court.

stayed

Therefore prays  
on the above facts  
and circumstances  
Your Honour will  
graciously be pleased  
to accept the  
show cause and  
discharge these  
accused persons  
from the proceedings  
and do justice  
thereby.

And for the act of kindness the abovenamed  
accused persons are due to bound shall  
ever pray.

Date :- 21.11.2019



9  
68

Ashish Kumar Banerjee @  
Ashish Banerjee



L. T. T. of Subman Banerjee

Much of Banerjee



No. 44650

# VAKALATNAMA

IN THE COURT OF THE

Ld. SLL. Eki. M. at Dussah

In case / Suit / Non GR / GR No.  
Appeal / Revision / Petition

No. Rep. - NGR (E) M - 1177/19  
MS. 107 CR. PC

State

Compft. / Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant

— VS —


Sankar Banerjee

Accd./Defendant/Opposite Party/Respondent

On behalf of

Sankar Banerjee

Know all men by these presents that by Vakalatnama I/We appoint the Advocates noted below or any one of them to appear and act for me in the above matter for appearing in conducting and arguing the case before the Court and for preparing the paper book in the case and for putting in any manner papers, documents, affidavits, etc. for filling or taking back any documents for withdrawing suits or appeals or for signing and filing the petitions of compromise or for taking copies of paper from the Records and I / We further say that any act done by my/our said Advocate or Advocates or by any one of them after accepting this Vakalatnama shall be considered as my/our own true and lawful act. To the above effect I/we execute this Vakalatnama.



### NAME OF THE ADVOCATES

Name	Enrolment No.	Ph. No.	E-mail ID:
Begum Rowshan Jehan.		9153384176	
...		9832871114	

ACCEPTED

Special Executive Magistrate  
L. T. T. PUR

Received the Vakalatnama from the  
executant / the agent of the executant  
and being satisfied accepted by me.

Begum Rowshan Jehan  
Advocate

Ref: NGR(E) NO-1177/19  
WPS 107/116 (3) ex.P.C

Bail of Rs-1000/-

10  
69

# Bond and Bail Bond on Preliminary inquiry Before A Magistrate

No. XLII Schedule V Act 1885  
(Section 499 of Code Criminal Procedure)

Ashit Banerjee @ Ashish Mr. Banerjee S/O Ashit Banerjee  
at - Mollapara (Paschim) P.S. - Kankra  
Dist. Paschim Bardhaman

1) Name

I (1) being brought before the Magistrate of (3) SPL Exe. M. at Durgapur

2) Place

Charge with offence 107/116 (3) ex.P.C  
and required to give security for my attendance in his Court and  
the Court of Session if required to bind myself to attend  
Court of Magistrate and Court of any other Magistrate to which the  
case may be transferred on

3) as the case may be

and everyday of the enquiry into the side charged and should  
the case be sent for trial by Court of session and to appear before the  
said Court of called upto answer the charged against me and in case of  
my making default herein I bind myself to forfeit to the Government  
the sum of Rupees 1000/- (one thousand) only

4) Place

(4) I here by declare myself  
dated the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of NOV 2019

Ashish Kumar Banerjee @  
Signature Ashit Banerjee

ACCEPTED

Asst Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR

Identified by me  
Begum Rowshan Jahan.  
Advocate

5) here by declare myself or  
we jointly and severally  
declare ourselves and  
each of us Name.

Security one  
that he shall attend at the Court Special Executive Magistrate and Court  
of any other Magistrate to which the case may be transferred on and  
due of the enquiry into the offense charged against him and should the  
case sent trial by the Court of Session that the shall be and appear  
before the said Court answer the charged by him and in case of his  
making default therein (6) I bind myself

7) I bind myself or we bind  
ourselves.

Dated


to forfeit the Government the sum of Rupees 1000/-  
(one thousand) only  
22<sup>nd</sup> day NOV 2019

Signature

Ref of NGR (E) NO-1177/19  
u/s 107/116 (3) Cr.P.C

Bengal Form No. : 3936  
Court Criminal Form 63 (P)

Bail of Rs-1000/-



# Bond and Bail Bond on Preliminary inquiry Before A Magistrate

No. XLII Schedule V Act 1885  
(Section 499 of Code Criminal Procedure)

1) Name

I (1) Sukumar Banerjee s/o Ajit Banerjee  
of Malapara (Paschim) P.S. Ranksa  
Dist - Paschim Bardhaman

2) Place

being brought before the Magistrate of (3) SPL Exe. M. at Durgapur  
Charge with offence 107/116 (3) Cr.P.C  
and required to give security for my attendance in his Court and  
the Court of Session if required to bind myself to attend  
Court of Magistrate and Court of any other Magistrate to which the  
case may be transferred on

3) as the case may be

and everyday of the enquiry into the side charged and should  
the case be sent for trial by Court of session and to appear before the  
said Court of called upto answer the charged against me and in case of  
my making default herein I bind myself to forfeit to the Government  
the sum of Rupees 1000/- (one thousand) only

4) Place

(4) I here by declare myself  
dated the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of NOV 2013

Signature L.T. I ab  
Sukumar  
Banerjee

Identified by me  
Begum Rowshan Jahan  
Advocate

ACCEPTED  
  
Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR

5) here by declare myself or  
we jointly and severally  
declare ourselves and  
each of us Name.

Security one  
that he shall attend at the Court SPL Exe. M. at Durgapur and Court  
of any other Magistrate to which the case may be transferred on and  
due of the enquiry into the offense charged against him and should the  
case sent trial by the Court of Session that the shall be and appear  
before the said Court answer the charged by him and in case of his  
making default therein (6) I bind myself

7) I bind myself or we bind  
ourselves.

Dated

to forfeit the Government the sum of Rupees 1000/-  
(one thousand) only NOV 2013  
day 22<sup>nd</sup>  
— Begum Rowshan Jahan  
Signature

# Bond and Bail Bond on Preliminary inquiry Before A Magistrate

No. XLII Schedule V Act 1885  
(Section 499 of Code Criminal Procedure)

1) Name *Muktulhari Banerjee s/o Ajit Banerjee*  
 I (1) *P. Mallapauer (Paschim) P. S. Kanksa* of (2)  
*Dist- Paschim Bardhaman*  
 being brought before the Magistrate of (3) *SPL Ex. M at Durgapur*  
 Charge with offence *107/116(3) Cr. P. C.*

2) Place and required to give security for my attendance in his Court and the Court of Session if required to bind myself to attend Court of Magistrate and Court of any other Magistrate to which the case may be transferred on

3) as the case may be and everyday of the enquiry into the side charged and should the case be sent for trial by Court of session and to appear before the said Court of called upto answer the charged against me and in case of my making default herein I bind myself to forfeit to the Government the sum of Rupees *1000/- (one thousand) only*

4) Place (4) *I hereby declare myself*  
 dated the *22nd* day of *NOV* 2019

*Muktulhari Banerjee*  
Signature

*Identified by me*  
*Begum Rowshan Jahan.*  
*Advocate*

ACCEPTED

*Special Magistrate, Paschim Bardhaman*  
DURGAPUR

5) here by declare myself or we jointly and severally declare ourselves and each of us Name. Security *One* for the said (5) that he shall attend at the Court *SPL Ex. M at Durgapur* and Court of any other Magistrate to which the case may be transferred on and due of the enquiry into the offense charged against him and should the case sent trial by the Court of Session that the shall be and appear before the said Court answer the charged by him and in case of his making default therein (6) *I bind myself*

7) I bind myself or we bind ourselves. to forfeit the Government the sum of Rupees *1000/- (one thousand) only*  
 Dated *22nd* day of *NOV* 2019  
*Gunsathan Sen*  
Signature

Special Executive Magistrate  
Court, Durgapur

72

13



Ref No AP-1177/19


U/S - 107/116 (3) CrPc

Attendance of witness

27.12.19

Ashit Mukherjee @ Ashis - Ashis mukherjee

Seen

  
Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR



Statement of Ashis Mukherjee S/O - Lt. Chittaranjan Mukherjee  
 Kanksa Road Kanksa Para P.O - Panigrahi Bazar P.S - Kanksa  
 Dist:- Paschim Bardhaman.

79

Print

MPNo- 1177/19



আমি স্বজন্মের দিন আমি বাড়ি থেকে ১কম দূরে একটি  
 চাকর মাঝখানে চা থেকে আমি হাটে গিয়ে হাটে  
 আমি আমার ভাইসিয়ার কাছ থেকে থেকে জানতে  
 পারি আমার ভাইসিয়ার ও ভাইসিয়ার বাড়িতে মাঝ  
 করে করে আমার আশ্রিত প্রাণের, স্বপ্নের প্রাণের  
 সুখের প্রাণের মাঝখানে করে, আমি বলে মাঝ  
 মাঝখানে থেকে মাঝে মাঝে আমার করে এখন  
 আমি থেকে থেকে আমার তখন আমার ভাইসিয়ার  
 কাছ থেকে থেকে মাঝে ভাইসিয়ার মাঝখানে সুখের  
 মাঝখানে আমার মাঝখানে করে, বাড়ি আসা গলে  
 আমি থেকে থেকে মাঝখানে থেকে করে, বাড়ি  
 করে এক মাঝে বাড়িতে থেকে থেকে এক O.P.র  
 বাড়ির গেরে করে মাঝখানে আমার এক; করে থেকে  
 করে করে করে, একের আমি মাঝখানে মাঝে ও বিনা  
 জানাই মাঝখানে আমার ভাইসিয়ার, ভাইসিয়ার ও  
 করে থেকে থেকে মাঝখানে বসে ও মাঝে, ওর মাঝখানে  
 আমি এ টি মাঝে বসিয়ে থেকে, এক বিনা মাঝে  
 করে মাঝে করে, আমার বাড়িতে একে করে  
 তিন টি করে O.P. এর অজুর্নিয়া করে বিনা এক বিনা,  
 থেকে থেকে মাঝখানে করে ও এক থেকে থেকে  
 এক করে,

Ashis Mukherjee  
27/12/2019

Cross Examination

— আমি বর্তমানে সুখের বাড়ি মাঝে মাঝে, এক; NGO র  
 — মাঝে মাঝে মাঝে মাঝে




Ashis Mukherjee  
২৭/১২/২০১৯

স্বাক্ষর করে জম্মুগঞ্জী সন্থা, নতম সাক্ষরী  
অভিত জম্মুগঞ্জী নম, ব্রহ্মবর্ত অভিত জম্মুগঞ্জী  
কোশা বসেছে,

Cross Examination Deffered

s/e  
✓  
স্বাক্ষর



2 Ashst Banerjee  
by  
[Signature]  
75  
15  
Abreca

Before the dd. SPL Executive Magistrate at Durgapur

Ref. N.G.R.(E) - 1177/19

State v. Ashst Banerjee & others.

Prayer for time for cross-examination

The above witness of 15 may report fully & submit to the court.

① That the case against the defendant is not yet identified and the documents are not being matched with the person appearing on the witness list. Hence request that the court may be pleased to grant further date for cross-examination of witnesses.

Seen  
[Signature]  
Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR



ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

ভারতের নির্বাচন কমিশন

IDENTITY CARD WB/37/266 / 303255

পরিচয় পত্র  
Duplicate  
প্রতিরূপ



Elector's Name Sukumar Banerjee

নির্বাচকের নাম সুকুমার ব্যাণার্জী

Father's Name Ajit

পিতার নাম অজিত

Sex M

লিঙ্গ পুং

Age as on 1.1.2000 25

১.১.২০০০-এ বয়স ২৫

Address

Mollapara (Paschim) Kanksa Kaksa  
Burdwan

ঠিকানা

মল্লাপাড়া (পশ্চিম) কাঁকসা কাঁকসা বর্ধমান



Facsimile Signature  
Electoral Registration Officer

নির্বাচক নিবন্ধন আধিকারিক

For 266-Kanksa(SC)

Assembly Constituency

২৬৬-কাঁকসা(তপঃ)


বিধানসভা নির্বাচন ক্ষেত্র

Place Burdwan

স্থান বর্ধমান

Date 10/11/2000

তারিখ ১০/১১/২০০০



L.T.P of Sukumar  
Banerjee

৩৩

১২



ভারতের নির্বাচন কমিশন  
পরিচয় পত্র  
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA  
IDENTITY CARD

GST1431626



নির্বাচকের নাম : মুক্তিধর ব্যানার্জী

Elector's Name : Muktidhar Banarjee

পিতার নাম : অজিত ব্যানার্জী

Father's Name : Ajit Banarjee

লিঙ্গ / Sex : পুং / M

জন্ম তারিখ  
Date of Birth : 29/01/1976

Mukti Dhar Banarjee

GST1431626

ঠিকানা:

মোল্লাপাড়া পানাগড় বাজার কান্সা কান্সা বর্ধমান  
713148

Address:

MollaparaPanagarh Bazar Kanksa  
Kanksa Burdwan 713148

Date: 05/08/2007

266-কান্সা (তপশিন্দী জাতি) নির্বাচন ক্ষেত্রের নির্বাচক  
নিবন্ধন আধিকারিকের স্বাক্ষরের অনুকৃতি

Facsimile Signature of the Electoral  
Registration Officer for  
266-Kanksa (SC) Constituency

ঠিকানা পরিবর্তন হলে নতুন ঠিকানায় ভোটার লিষ্টে নাম  
তোলা ও একই নম্বরের নতুন মন্ত্রিত্ব পরিচয়পত্র পাওয়ার  
জন্য নিশ্চিত করুন এই পরিচয়পত্রের নম্বরটি উল্লেখ করুন।  
In case of change in address mention this Card No.  
In the relevant Form for including your name in the  
roll at the changed address and to obtain the card  
with same number.

09/0690



ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

ভারতের নির্বাচন কমিশন

IDENTITY CARD WB/37/266 / 303486

পরিচয় পত্র

Duplicate

প্রতিরূপ



Elector's Name Ashishkumar Banerjee

নির্বাচকের নাম আশীষকুমার ব্যাণার্জী

Father's Name Ajit

পিতার নাম অজিত

Sex M

লিঙ্গ পুং

Age as on 1.1.2000 27

১.১.২০০০-এ বয়স ২৭

Address

Mollapara (Paschim) Kanksa Kaksa  
Burdwan

ঠিকানা

মল্লাপাড়া (পশ্চিম) কাঁকসা কাঁকসা বর্ধমান



Facsimile Signature  
Electoral Registration Officer  
নির্বাচক নিবন্ধন আধিকারিক

For 266-Kanksa(SC)

Assembly Constituency

২৬৬-কাঁকসা(তপঃ)

বিধানসভা নির্বাচন ক্ষেত্র

Place Burdwan

স্থান বর্ধমান

Date 10/11/2000

তারিখ ১০/১১/২০০০

102/0245

Ashish Kumar Banerjee @

Ashit Banerjee

79

1

ORDER - SHEET FOR MAGISTRATE'S RECORDS

IN THE COURT OF THE SPECIAL EXECUTIVE MAGISTRATE OF DURGA PUR.


N.C.R NO: 705/19

U/S- 107/116(3) Cr.P.C.

MP CASE NO: 1178/19

Serial no. of order	Date	Order with Signature of the Magistrate	Office notes as to taken on order (if any date)
1.	23/10/19  DR-2408/19	<p>Seen the PR of <u>Kankra PS</u> vide NCR No. <u>705/19</u> dt. <u>16/10/19</u> in which it is started that</p> <p>① <u>Ashit Mukherjee &amp; Asieh S/o - Lt. Chittaranjan Mukherjee</u></p> <p>② <u>Kahan Singh s/o - Tol Singh</u> Both of <u>Kankra cantonment, PS-Kankra</u> <u>Dist: - paschim Bm.</u></p> <p>③ <u>Joydeep Bhagat</u> <u>s/o - Jangali Bhagat</u> <u>of panazarh office para</u> <u>PS - Kankra,</u> <u>Dist: - paschim Bm.</u></p> <p><u>js/are creating disturbance and prays for drawn up proceeding u/s 107/116 (C) against him/ them.</u> <u>Having regard to the material on the record I am of the opinion that there is ground exist for proceeding, Hence proceeding u/s 107 CrPC is drawn.</u> <u>Ops js/are here by directed to appear before this Court and file show Cause on</u> <u>26.11.19</u> Why he/ they should not be order to execute on furnishing bond of Rs. <u>1000/-</u> each with one surety of like amount for maintaining peace for the period of one year. <u>Summons to be serve upon the ops through O/C. <u>Kankra PS.</u></u></p>	<p><del>26.11.19</del> <del>14.11.19</del> <del>23.10.19</del> <del>21.3.20</del> <del>3.5.20</del> <del>9.6.20</del> <del>07.07.20</del> <del>18.08.20</del> <del>6.10.20</del> <del>15.12.20</del> <del>23.2.21</del> <del>30.3.21</del> <del>11.5.21</del> <del>27.07.21</del> 2.11.21</p>

Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGA PUR



ORDER - SHEET FOR MAGISTRATE'S RECORDS

DISTRICT BURDWAN

IN THE COURT OF

OF DURGAPUR / ASANSOL



M.P. No. 1178/19

of 20

Versus

Serial No. of order	Date	Order with Signature of the Magistrate	Office notes as to action taken on order (if any and date)
5	19.8.20	Record is put up today as per fixed date for work. By 6.10.20 for app. of O.P.	
6	6.10.20	no steps taken by O.P. By 15.12.20 for app. 200	
7	15.12.20	NO steps taken by O.P. By 23.2.21 for app. of O.P.	
8	23.2.21	no steps taken by O.P. By 7.5.21 for app. of O.P.	
9	27.7.21	no steps taken by O.P. By 2.11.21 for app. of O.P.	



Serial No.		Ref. Kanksa PS NCR No. 705/19 Dated 16.10.19			
Complainant or Informant	Name of accused (Note: if Sent up in custody or on bail. If on bail the bail bond should be attached.)	ASI. Manas Kr. Dalui of Kanksa P.S On behalf of state govt. of West Bengal	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Ashit Mukherjee (47) S/o- Lt. Chittaranjan Mukherjee</b></li> <li><b>Kahan Singh(47) S/o- Tol Singh</b> Both are of Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman.</li> <li><b>Joydeep Bhagat (26)S/o- Jangali Bhagat of Panagarh Officepara P.S- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman.</b></li> </ol>	Date of arrest	Date of Hearing
		Not arrested	May kindly be fixed by Ld. Court.	 Brief description of offence with date and place of occurrence and section of law. <b>U/S 107/116 (3) Cr.P.C</b>	
		Forwarded Inspector in Charge Kanksa Police Station Dist. Paschim Bardhaman	Submitted By Manas Kr. Dalui K.S.I of Police Kanksa P.S 16/10/19	The fact of the case in brief is that on 13.10.19 at 14.25 hours one Ashit Banerjee S/o- Ajit Banerjee of Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman came to P.S and reported that on the O.P member noted in col No. 03 are used filthy languages to him and threatened over the issue of previous grudge. Over this information Kanksa P.S GDE No. 547 dated 13.10.19 has been lodged and as per endorsement of I.C Kanksa P.S I took up its enquiry.  During enquiry I had been to the village Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa, Dist- Paschim Bardhaman and enquired the matter locally. During enquiry it could be learnt that the fact is true. Further enquiry it could be learnt that O.P person are very rough and quarrel in nature. Their activities create there a serious breach of peace.  So, I therefore pray before your honours court that 107 Cr.P.C may kindly be prosecuted against O.P member noted in col No. 03 to prevent cog offence. Another I pray before your honours court that bound down them 116 (C) Cr.P.C to curve down their such activities.	Name and address of witness.
		Complainant:- Ashit Banerjee S/o- Ajit Banerjee of Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa, Dist:- Paschim Bardhaman Name of Witnesses - <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sukumar Banerjee (43) S/o- Ajit Banerjee</li> <li>Muktidhar Banerjee (41) S/o- Ajit Banerjee</li> </ol> Both are of Kanksa Canelpar P.S- Kanksa Dist- Paschim Bardhaman.	Result of trial with the name of the trying Magistrate.	Remarks	
		Special Executive Magistrate DLBAGARH	Seen 		

(M)



Ashis @ Asit Mukherjee

5

Filed by Anil Kumar Saha for

DISTRICT

IN THE COURT OF THE LEARNED SPECIAL EXECUTIVE MAGISTRAT AT DURGAPUR

Ref Kanksa P.S Case No 705/2019

NGRNO-1178/19

U/S-107/110/3 C.r.P.C



State

-vs- Ashish @ Ashit Mukherjee

.....Accused Person

The Humble petition on behalf of the Accused person above named.

Most Respectfully Sheweth :-

1. That your Petitioner is peace loving and law abiding citizen of India and totally innocent in this alleged case.
2. That there is no such incident at all and the allegations made in the complaint are totally false and fabricated made with a malafide intention.
3. That your petitioner is a reputed person in his locality and belongs from a respectable family.
4. That in view of the aforesaid facts and circumstance your petitioner surrender before this Ld. Court and prays Bail in any terms and conditions, hence this Bail Application.
5. That unless the bail as prayed for be granted in favour of Your Petitioner, the Petitioner shall suffer from irreparable loss and injury.
6. That the instant petition has been made bonafide and for the ends of justice.

It is therefore prayed that Learned Court may graciously be pleased to admit this application and grant bail in any terms and conditions and pass necessary order or orders as Your Honour may deem fit and proper for the ends of justice.

Seen  
Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR

And for this act of kindness, Your Petitioner as in duty bound shall eve pray.

83

6

Ashis @ Asit Mukherjee



No. 45272

# VAKALATNAMA

IN THE COURT OF THE Ld. Special Executive at Durgapur.

In case / Suit / Non GR / GR No.  
Appeal / Revision / Petition

No. Refr NGR - 1178/19  
WSI - 107CRPE.

State

Compft. / Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant

— VS —

Ashis @ Asit Mukherjee Accd./Defendant/Opposite Party/Respondent

On behalf of Ashis @ Asit Mukherjee.

Know all men by these preser one of them as my / our lawful Adv arguing the same for depositing or any matter connected therewi papers, petition etc. on my / our appeals or petitions with permission in connection with the said matte that may act done by my/our sai Vakalatnama shall be considered Vakalatnama.



e Advocates noted below or any for appearing in conducting and herewith for moving the Court in the case and for putting in ments for withdrawing suits or ting the petitions of compromise he Records and I / We further say one of them after accepting this the above efect I/we execute this

### NAME OF THE ADVOCATES

Name	Enrolment No.	Ph. No.	E-mail ID:
Arisban Saha	F/1138/2016	9674576571	
Bhola Nath Banik.			

Asit

ACCEPTED

Asit Mukherjee  
DURGAPUR

Received the Vakalatnama from the executent / the agent of the executent and being satisfied accepted by me.  
Arisban Saha, Adv.

84


7

Refs NO CR NO - 1178/19

NO - 107 CRPL

Bond of Rs - 1000/-

Bengal Form No. : 3936  
Magistrate Criminal Form 63 (P)



# Bond and Bail Bond on Preliminary inquiry Before A Magistrate

No. XLII Schedule V Act 1885  
(Section 499 of Code Criminal Procedure)

Ashit Mukherjee - SHO - LT Chittaramban  
Mukherjee at Panagarh office Para PS

1) Name

I (1) Kanika - BWNW of (2)  
being brought before the Magistrate of (3) SPL Ex.

2) Place

Charge with offence 107 CRPL  
and required to give security for my attendance in his Court and  
the Court of Session if required to bind myself to attend  
Court of Magistrate and Court of any other Magistrate to which the  
case may be transferred on

3) as the case may be

and everyday of the enquiry into the side charged and should  
the case be sent for trial by Court of session and to appear before the  
said Court of called upto answer the charged against me and in case of  
my making default herein I bind myself to forfeit to the Government  
the sum of Rupees 1000/-

4) Place

(4)  
dated the 28/11/19 day of 20

Ashis @ Asit Mukherjee  
Signature  
I do hereby  
by Anil Kumar Saha  
tsh

ACCEPTED  
*[Signature]*  
Special Executive Magistrate  
Panagarh

5) here by declare myself or  
we jointly and severally  
declare ourselves and  
each of us Name.

Security One for the said (5)  
that he shall attend at the Court ~~ASIT SPL Ex~~ and Court  
of any other Magistrate to which the case may be transferred on and  
due of the enquiry into the offense charged against him and should the  
case sent trial by the Court of Session that the shall be and appear  
before the said Court answer the charged by him and in case of his  
making default therein (6)

7) I bind myself or we bind  
ourselves.

to forfeit the Government the sum of Rupees 1000/-  
Dated 28/11/19 day 20  
*[Signature]*  
Signature

आयकर विभाग  
INCOME TAX DEPARTMENT



भारत सरकार  
GOVT. OF INDIA

ASHIS MUKHERJEE  
CHITTARANJAN MUKHERJEE



22/11/1971  
Permanent Account Number




AZZPM3580D

*Ashis Mukherjee*  
Signature

85

8



*Ashis mukherjee*

*26/11/19*

86

9



ভারতীয় বিশিষ্ট পরিচয় প্রাধিকরণ  
ভারত সরকার  
Unique Identification Authority of India  
Government of India

তালিকাভুক্তির আই ডি / Enrollment No.: 1111/80088/00266

To  
আশীষ মুখার্জী  
Ashis Mukherjee  
S/O: Chittaranjan Mukherjee  
NEW KANKSA ROAD PANAGARH KANKSA  
Panagar  
Panagar Bazara  
Kanksa Barddhaman  
West Bengal 713148  
9547404047

07/02/2016  
332090720



MA320907203FT



আপনার আধার সংখ্যা / Your Aadhaar No. :

**3981 3894 5909**

আমার আধার, আমার পরিচয়



ভারত সরকার  
Government of India



আশীষ মুখার্জী  
Ashis Mukherjee  
জন্মতারিখ / DOB : 22/11/1971  
পুরুষ / Male



**3981 3894 5909**

আমার আধার, আমার পরিচয়

*Ashis Mukherjee*




Asith @ Asith mubhekar

(7)

(10)

Prithvi Sahas

In the Court of the Special Executive Magistrate  
Ref: MTR NO - 1178/19  
MTR NO ERPL



State vs Asith @ Asith mubhekar

Seen  
Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAM CHERUVU

Show Cause

భాగ్యలక్ష్మి అండ్ సోనియా కంప్యూటర్స్ ప్రైవేట్ లిమిటెడ్ కంపెనీ  
పేరిటే కేసు - కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19

పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
పేరిటే కేసు నంబర్ 1178/19  
26/11/19

88


11



File No. 1178/19

Kahan Singh Negowat

Beepant



In the court of LD SEM, Durgapur

Ref: - M.P. 1178/19

vs 107/11.6 CrP

State vs

Accd. Person

(i) Kahan Singh

(ii) Joydeep Bhagat

Seen  
*[Signature]*  
Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR

Attendance of the above  
accd. persons

ay  
atio  
ns  
no  
e.  
p.

150





Kahan Singh Rayhura

PLATE 113/19

AGN

26.11.19

89

12

In the Court of Id SEM, Durgapur

Ref: - M.P. 1178/19

u/s 107/46 CrPc



Slab vs

Aced Person

- (i) Kahan Singh
- (ii) Joydeep Singh

Surrender and Pray for

Bail

The humble petition on behalf of the above named aced person beg to state as follows:-  
 That today in the date filed for appearance of the above named aced persons.  
 That today the aced persons surrendered and pray for Bail Bond.

Seen  
  
 Special Executive Magistrate  
 DURGAPUR

Here, it is prayed before your Honour that your Honour shall be graciously please to allow the Bail on any condition and do justice thereby.

90

13

Kahan Singh Raybanshi

*[Handwritten signature]*

FILED



In the court of Id SEM, Durgapur

Ref: M.P. 1178/19

vs 107/116 CPC

state vs

Acad. Person

- (i) Kahan Singh
- (ii) Joydeep Singh

Time Petition for filing Show Cause

The humble petition on behalf of the acad. Person begs to state as follows:-

That the acad. person is peace loving person and has no intention to contest the matter


That the above named persons begs for filing Show Cause due to unavailability of the documents cannot file the same.

Seen  
*[Signature]*  
Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR

Here, it is prayed before your Honour that your Honour shall be graciously pleased to allow the <sup>time</sup> petition for filing Show Cause as may deem fit and proper and do justice thereto.

91

14



Kohansingh Rayburohit  
VIRJE N. PRASAD



No. 46300

# VAKALATNAMA

IN THE COURT OF THE LD SEM, Durgapur

In case / Suit / Non GR / GR No.  
Appeal / Revision / Petition

No. Ref:- M.P- 1178/19

State

Compft. / Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant

— VS —

- (i) Kohan Singh
  - (ii) Jyotdeep Sengupta
- On behalf of

Accd./Defendant/Opposite Party/Respondent

Know all men by these present that by Vakalatnama I/We appoint the Advocates noted below or any one of them as my / our lawful Advocate or Advocates on the above matter for appearing in conducting and arguing the same for depositing or withdrawing any money in connection herewith for moving the Court in any matter connected therewith for preparing the paper book in the case and for putting in papers, petition etc. on my / our behalf for filling or taking back any documents for withdrawing suits or appeals or petitions with permission to institute fresh suits etc. for signing and filing the petitions of compromise in connection with the said matter and for taking copies of paper from the Records and I / We further say that any act done by my/our said Advocate or Advocates or by any one of them after accepting this Vakalatnama shall be considered as my/our own true and lawful act. To the above effect I/we execute this Vakalatnama.

### NAME OF THE ADVOCATES

Name	Enrolment No.	Ph. No.	E-mail ID :
Ranajit Mukherjee			

Received the Vakalatnama from the executent, the agent of the executent and being satisfied accepted by me.

ACCEPTED

*[Signature]*

Executive Committee  
DURGAPUR

92

Joydeep Bhagat

Rs 1,000/-  
Bail Bond (15)

Mag Form No. : 3936  
Court Criminal Form 63 (P)

# Bond and Bail Bond on Preliminary inquiry Before A Magistrate

No. XLII Schedule V Act 1885  
(Section 499 of Code Criminal Procedure)

1) Name I (1) Joydeep Bhagat, s/o Jangali Bhagat  
being brought before the Magistrate of (3) Spl Ex. Magistrate  
Charge with offence 107/116 CrPc

2) Place and required to give security for my attendance in his Court and  
the Court of Session if required to bind myself to attend  
Court of Magistrate and Court of any other Magistrate to which the  
case may be transferred on


3) as the case may be and everyday of the enquiry into the side charged and should  
the case be sent for trial by Court of session and to appear before the  
said Court of called upto answer the charged against me and in case of  
my making default herein I bind myself to forfeit to the Government  
the sum of Rupees 1,000/-

4) Place (4)  
dated the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_

Bu  
m

५५६५ २०१९

Signature



ACCEPTED  
*[Signature]*  
Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR

*[Signature]*


5) here by declare myself or we jointly and severally declare ourselves and each of us Name. Security for the said (5) and Court that he shall attend at the Court of any other Magistrate to which the case may be transferred on and due of the enquiry into the offense charged against him and should the case sent trial by the Court of Session that the shall be and appear before the said Court answer the charged by him and in case of his making default therein (6)



to forfeit the Government the sum of Rupees 1,000/-

7) I bind myself or we bind ourselves. Dated 26<sup>th</sup> day NOV 2019  
*[Signature]*  
Signature

93

16

  
 ভারতের নির্বাচন কমিশন  
 পরিচয় পত্র  
 ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA  
 IDENTITY CARD  
 SVG2200889


নির্বাচকের নাম : কহন সিং  
 Elector's Name : রাজপুরোহিত  
 Kahan Singh Rajpurohit  
 পিতার নাম : টোল সিং  
 Father's Name : রাজপুরোহিত  
 Tol Singh Rajpurohit  
 লিঙ্গ/SEX : পু/ M  
 জন্ম তারিখ  
 Date of Birth : 26/01/1973



Kahan Singh Rajpurohit

SVG2200889  
 ঠিকানা:  
 140, রাধাগোবিন্দ নগর রোড, হিন্দমোটর, উত্তরপাড়া,  
 হুগলী- 712232

Address:-  
 140, RADHAGOBINDA NAGAR ROAD,  
 HINDMOTOR, UTTARPARA, HOOGHLY-  
 712232

  
 Date: 31/12/2014

185-উত্তরপাড়া নির্বাচন কেন্দ্রের নির্বাচক নিবন্ধন  
 অধিকারিকের স্বাক্ষরের অনুলিপি  
 Facsimile Signature of the Electoral  
 Registration Officer for  
 185-Uttarpara Constituency


টিকন পরিবর্তন হলে নতুন ঠিকানা উল্লেখ করে নির্বাচক নিবন্ধন  
 নথিতে নতুন নতুন পরিচয়পত্র পাওয়ার জন্য নির্বাচক নিবন্ধন  
 পরিচয়পত্রের নথিটি সংশোধন করুন।  
 In case of change in address mention this Card No.  
 in the relevant Form for including your name in the  
 roll at the changed address and to obtain the card  
 with same number.



129/0838

Kahan Singh Rajpurohit


৩৭

১৭

  
 ভারতের নির্বাচন কমিশন  
 পরিচয় পত্র  
 ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA  
 IDENTITY CARD  
 ZZE0879064

নির্বাচকের নাম : জয়দীপ ভগত  
 Elector's Name : Jaydeep Bhagat  
 পিতার নাম : জংলী ভগত  
 Father's Name : Jangli Bhagat  
 লিঙ্গ/Sex : পুং/M  
 জন্ম তারিখ/Date of Birth : 05/02/1994




জয়দীপ ভগত

ZZE0879064

ঠিকানা:  
পানাগড় বাজার অফিস পাড়া, কান্সা, বর্ধমান- 713148

Address:  
PANAGARH BAZAR OFFICE PARA,  
KANKSA, BURDWAN-713148

Date: 11/07/2014



274-গালসী (সস) নির্বাচন কেন্দ্রের নির্বাচক  
নিবন্ধন অধিকারিকের স্বাক্ষরের অনুলিপি  
Facsimile Signature of the Electoral  
Registration Officer for  
274-Galsi (SC) Constituency

টিকন পরিবর্তন করে নতুন ঠিকানা যেটার দিকেই নাম তোলার প্রক্রিয়া  
সময়ের নতুন পত্রিকা পরিচয়পত্র পাওয়ার জন্য নির্বাচন পত্রিকা এই  
পরিচয়পত্রের নম্বরটি উল্লেখ করুন।

In case of change in address mention this Card No.  
in the relevant Form for including your name in the  
roll at the changed address and to obtain the card  
with same number.

জয়দীপ ভগত

ion  
and  
our m  
ray.

(95)

(18)

not

Wanted  
S. No. 74/1/20



In the case of Col. S. R. ...


Ref: MP 1178/13

7/11/20

Sd/-

M.




- ① K. R. ...
- ② J. ...



Approved by M.S.

Saen

Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAM CHERUVU



1000/1000

1/1000

1000/1000


14/1/20

98

19

m. Saha  
Atr

In the court of - Col. S. C. - Court at Durgam



Ref: - MP 0178/19.

Stat. - 1) Kanna Singh  
2) Joydev Bhanu



Time permit for filing S.C.

that today the O.P.'s filed a motion  
and motion for stay for hearing  
etc. for

that the O.P.'s today unable to attend  
the S.C. due to collect some valuable  
documents.

Dear your Honor

may kindly allow

the motion and do  
justice to all.

Seen  
Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAM




156

20

save

14/1/19  
13/1/19




In the Court of the Ld. SPL Court at Durgapur.  
MP case - 1178/19  
State  
- vs -

n Buel  
lms

Karan Singh Dora

The humble petition on behalf of the opp no: (1)

Most Respectfully Sheweth:-



(1) That earlier whereas your petitioner filled a show cause petition without paying the FDR, so same petition not admitted in this earlier show cause petition.

(2) That your petitioner humbly pray to your Honor, that you kindly allow to file amendment show cause petition with some relevant documents or otherwise your petition will be necessarily prejudicial.

It is pray that your Honor may graciously be pleased to allow the petition and for for the purpose seek further order as your Honor may see fit and proper.

And your petitioner as to duty-bound shall ever pray.



Ashish Mukherjee  
& Kanwar Singh  
Rayfer

Amalika  
3/3/22

98

99

Filed by  
Amalika



Bhaskar  
ms

In the court of Cd S.P.L. Eke - Durgapur  
Ref: - M.P. - 1178  
107/19  
of 107/116 CrP

State vs Sed. Person  
(i) Ashish Mukherjee  
(ii) Kanwar Singh Rajwadhani

Absent Petition

The humble petition on behalf of the Sed. Person begs to state as follows:

That the Sed. Person due to miscommunication the Cd. Lawyer could not inform the above named Sed. Person.

Hence, it is prayed before your Honor that you honor shall be graciously please to allow the time and do justice thereby.

Seen

Special Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20




FILED 11/3/19

3/19/19

Analic  
sdw  
3/31

59



n Buel  
1/1/19

In the court of Cd SPL. Ede. at Dyp,  
Ref: M.P. - 478/19  
4/107/116 Crpc

state vs Soydeep Bhagat

Attendance of the  
Acid. is filed

Seen

Special Executive Magistrate  
BANGALORE

mm

e

f

sen

m

c

i



Kahan Singh,  
Ashis Mukherjee  
PTE H/PH/15

100  
M  
100

Salve  
for

In the court of Jd- SPL. COURT at Durgapur, West Bengal

Ref: M.P. case no 1778/19

- State vs: (i) U/5107/116 Cr P.C.  
Ashit Mukherjee.  
(ii) Kahan Singh Raychoudhary.  
(iii) Joydeep Bhagat.



Show-cause filed by the OP's

that the OP's above named filed Show-cause return as follows:

That all the OP's member are innocent and peace loving person and they are not involved any incident or alleged by this case.

That the OP's member are peace loving citizen and they have every respects upon the Law.

That ~~the~~ the other hand the complainant and return ~~are~~ <sup>Seen</sup> are very much influential person and rough person in the locality.

District Magistrate  
DURGAPUR

That the OP no. 1 and 2 by person filed a several complaint against the all return made  
\* That the OP's are innocent they want for discharge from this case and at the time of evidence the OP's are present before your Honourable in respect of their grievances.

Then your Honour may kindly accept this return and discharge the OP's



Kahan Singh,  
Ashis Mukherjee

PLEA HYPHIS

PLUR

101

24

by  
anSaha  
for

In the court of Ld. SPL. COURT at Durgapur, Dist. Paschim Bhubar  
dhr

Ref: M.P. Case no 1778/19

- State vs: (i) U/5107/116 Cr P.C. Ashit Mukherjee.
- (ii) Kahan Singh Rayprochit.
- (iii) Joydeep Bhagat.



Show-cause filed by the OP'S

that the OP'S above named filed Show-cause return as follows:

That all the OP'S member are innocent and peace loving person and they are not involved any incident or alleged by this case.

that the OP'S member are peace loving citizen and they have every respects upon the Law.

that the other hand the complainant and witness are very much influential person and rough person in the locality.

Seen

Not Executive Magistrate  
DURGAPUR

That the OP no. 1 and 2 by person filed a several complaint against the all witness and

that the OP'S are innocent they want for discharge from this case and at the time of evidence the OP'S were present before your Honor's court in respect of their grievances.

How you Honor may kindly accept  
this return and

Order  
passed  
for  
hearing

- 9 -

(102)

Present:-

Justice Girish Chandra Gupta.

Chairperson.

File number 581/25/25/2020.

Date:-1 November 2021.

By the order dated 21 September 2021 the concerned ASI and the concerned ACP who prepared the report dated 10 August 2020 and 17 September 2021 were directed to appear for examination on 25 October 2021 at 2 PM at the office of the commission.

On 25<sup>th</sup> October the hearing was postponed till 1 November 2021.

This day Mr Srimanta Kr Bandopadhyay, ACP accompanied by the ASI Manas Kr Dolui appeared. The reports dated 10 August 2020 and 17 September 2021 have merely been signed without indicating name of the author of the report. The commission as such was not aware about the identity of the author or authors. Mr Bandopadhyay disclosed that the report dated 10<sup>th</sup> of August 2020 was authored by SmtSaswatiSwetaSamanta and the report dated 17 September 2021 was authored by him. In that case SmtSamanta should also have appeared for hearing. She is however absent.

Upon perusal of the file it appears that the direction contained in the order dated 21 September 2021 for production of the original records for inspection by the commission has not been complied with. The CP ASN-DGP has instead under the cover of his letter dated 4 October 2021, received by this office on 5 October 2021, forwarded legible copies of some documents which were furnished earlier and photocopies of some more documents have been furnished but the original book or books containing the relevant GD entries copies whereof have been relied upon in the reports dated 10 August 2020 and 17 September 2021 have not been furnished for inspection by the commission.

Unless the original is produced the copies cannot be taken into consideration. On the top of that an adverse inference may be drawn. This was clarified to the officers stated above. Mr Bandopadhyay stated that he shall have the originals produced before the commission if an opportunity is granted to him. For ends of justice such opportunity is given. Let the books or the book containing the GD entries relied upon be produced for inspection on 3 November 2021 to the Ld Registrar.

The hearing is peremptorily fixed on 8<sup>th</sup> November, 2021 at 1 Pm at the office of the commission. Mr.Bandyopadhyay, Ms.SaswatiSwetaSamanta and ASI Manas Kr Dolui must appear for recording their respective statement. In default the proceeding shall be held ex parte. The CP ASN-DGP is directed to communicate this order to Ms.SaswatiSwetaSamanta. A copy of this order be also given to the officers who appeared today.

The Ld. Registrar is directed to compare the GD entries appearing from the reports with the original ones and to produce the same together with his notes if any and the concerned file to the Chairperson for his perusal on or before 6<sup>th</sup> November, 2021 at the residence of the Chairperson.

Chairperson.

103

Present: –

Justice Girish Chandra Gupta,  
chairperson.

File number 581/25/25/2020.

8th of November 2021.

Statement of Manas Kumar Dolui, assistant sub- inspector.

I joined service in September 2000 as a constable. I have passed higher secondary examination. I was promoted to the rank of assistant sub- inspector in April 2013. I am acquainted with the facts and circumstances of this case.

The contents of the application made by Smt Santosh Kanwar Rajpurohit was read over and explained to me by my inspector in charge Sri Arnab Guha and thereafter by our ACP Smt Saswati Sweta Samanta and lastly by our ACP Sri Srimanta Bandopadhyay. I am as such aware of the contents of her application made to the West Bengal human rights commission which is the subject matter of enquiry/proceedings in this case. I need not go through the application once again. I have following submissions to make in regard to the application made by Smt Rajpurohit:-

I did not misbehave with the applicant.

I did not detain her.

I did not thrash her son. On the contrary I gave him a chocolate. Except for this I have nothing more to say about the written complaint lodged by Smt Rajpurohit.

I have gone through the report dated 10 August 2020 prepared by our ACP Smt Samanta. She has not mentioned any of my aforesaid statements about the application except the fact that I offered a chocolate to the son of the petitioner Smt Rajpurohit. I have also gone through the report dated 17 September 2021, shown to me as before, prepared by Sri Bandhopadhyay. There is no mention in his report either that any of the statements contained therein is incorrect or supporting my aforesaid statement except that I had offered a chocolate to the son of the petitioner.

I am aware of the fact that our ACP Sri Bandhopadhyay obtained in writing a statement from the husband of the petitioner. I have seen a photo copy of that statement shown to me which is annexure-G to the report dated 17 September 2021. Sri Bandhopadhyay told me about the contents of the statement. The statements contained in the aforesaid writing dated 17 September 2021 are true and correct.

When he was confronted with the statement of Sri Rajpurohit reading that "it is true that on that day the GD officer Mr Manas Dolui grossly misbehaved with my wife and he put me in the lock-up without disclosing any reason. We were deeply shocked and suffered huge mental agony." \_he states that he did not misbehave with the wife of Sri Rajpurohit. He was at this stage confronted with the allegations against him made by the petitioner which read as follows "when I reached the police station on 13/10/2019 at about 11 AM and informed about the incident to the duty officer, whose

Manas Kumar Dolui

2

1104

nameplate beared the name as SI Manas doloui, instead of assisting us and doing the needful, started cracking sexually coloured jokes, taunted with abusive language and was laughing in his highest possible pitch. Besides using sexually coloured remarks also body shamed me with an uncalled, unacceptable, derogatory sexually coloured gesture and asked me for sexual favour and this particular act has tarnished my dignity and has greatly hampered the sovereignty of my soul altogether and further illegally detained me my son and my husband at police station from 11 AM to late night till 1.00 hours of 14/10/2019". He states that he did not misbehave with the woman. He has nothing more to say in this regard.

The petitioner accompanied by her husband and her minor son went to the police station met the ASI Manas Dolui and claims to have made the following complaint: – "Bannerjee of Canal paar, new Kanksa Road, Panagarh Bazar, district Paschim Burdwan, pin: – 713148 over the issue of a pet dog of my landlord. They forcibly entered the premises of my house and physically assaulted and abused me and further every one of the above named has manhandled and groped me in order to outrage my modesty and further threatened me....." When confronted with the aforesaid statement of the petitioner in her complaint he states that she did not make any complaint. After going through both the reports submitted by Ms Samanta and Mr Bandopadhyay he stated that it is true that there is no denial that the aforesaid complaint was made by the petitioner with him.

When it was pointed out to him that by reason of omission/failure on his part to record the aforesaid complaint which attracted the provisions of section 354/509 IPC amongst others, he was liable to be proceeded against under section 166A of the Indian penal code besides disciplinary proceedings he states that these allegations were made out of spite because he had arrested her husband.

At this stage the deposition of Sri Ashish Mukherjee in his evidence in chief in MP number 1177/19 disclosed by the CP ASN-DGP under the cover of his letter dated 4 October 2021 which reads inter-alia as follows was brought to his notice: – "I was telephonically informed that my tenant and their servants were assaulted by Asit Banerjee, Sukumar Banerjee and Muktidhar Banerjee. Immediately I informed the local police. When I was coming out of the market again I received a call from my tenant requesting me to send police because the Banerjees were continuing to assault them. I informed the police or sought to do so repeatedly. When I reached my house I found the main gate had been closed. The opposite party members were standing near the main gate and the manservant was crying. Thereafter I went to the police station and informed the incident. My tenant, his wife and the manservant Jaydeep Bhagat also had gone there. We were detained till 1 o'clock in the morning....."

He states that this was the complaint made to him. He added that this complaint was recorded by him in the GD entry. At this stage the GD entry book is shown to him. He pointed out GD entry number 546 a copy whereof is annexure A to the report dated 17 September 2021. It is true that I did not record that the Banerjees assaulted the tenant and his servants. The tenant is Rajpurohit.

When Mr Mukherjee, Raj Purohit and his companions after lodging complaint exited from the Thana premises but were still within the boundary of the police station the opposite party reached the police station. Ashish Banerjee accompanied by his two brothers came to me and lodged their complaint. Mr Mukherjee and his tenant and his companions were waiting within the compound of the police station. After Mr Ashish Banerjee and his companions had recorded their complaint they

Manas Kumar Dolui



3

105

exited from the police station where Mukherjee, his tenant and his companions were waiting. Both parties started altercating. The officer in charge asked me to see what had happened. I went there and asked them to stop the altercation. They did not listen to me. I informed the same to the officer in charge telephonically. He told me to put all the male members in the lock-up. Accordingly I put them into the lockup. I was at that time accompanied by lady constables. Thereafter I wrote out the GD entry number 549 under section 151 CRPC. It is not correct to suggest that I had illegally arrested the six persons appearing from GD entry number 549. It is not correct to suggest that the reasons for arrest recorded in the GD entry number 549 are all incorrect and are an afterthought. I do not know whether a copy of the GD entry regarding arrest was sent to the Circle Inspector. I know that they are required to be sent within 24 hours. Neither the report dated 10 August 2020 nor the report dated 17 September 2021 furnishes any information in that regard. It is true that neither the Mukherjee group including his tenants and their servants nor the Banerjee group carried any arm. It is true that Anupam Shyam a law clerk visits the concerned police station from time to time. It is true that both P R bond and Bail bond were obtained by us before releasing the six arrestees. Photo copies of the bail bond and P R disclosed by way of annexure to the report dated 17 September 2021 contain my handwriting. It is true that the arrestees had to pay to the surity in consideration of his executing bail bonds for their release. It is true that bail bonds were not required. It is true that the above explanation offered by me as regards the reasons which led to the arrest of the six persons are not recorded in the GD entry number 549. There is no indication in the said GD that the officer in charge directed me to arrest the six persons. It is true that I did not inform the relatives of the six arrestees as required under the law. It is also true that I did not inform the arrestees about the reason for their arrest.

My statements have correctly been recorded hereinabove.

Manas Kumar Dolui  
Manas Kumar Dolui.

8/11/2021

Statement is recorded  
in presence of me.  
Registrar  
W.B. Human Rights Comm.  
08/11/2021

(106)

Present: –

Justice Girish Chandra Gupta,  
chairperson.

File number 581/25/25/2020.

8th of November 2021.

Statement of Sri Srimanta Kumar Bandopadyay, Asst Commissioner of police, ASN-DGP.

I am the author of the report dated 17 September 2021. It is true that the complaint of the petitioner except for the issue concerning the pet dog was not reflected in my report stated above. There is nothing in my report to indicate that I examined the petitioner Smt purohit who in her complaint alleged that she was assaulted by the Banerjees and her modesty was outraged inter-alia by groping her. Her further complaint is against the assistant sub-inspector Manas Dolui, in the police station, is more serious in nature. He allegedly went to the extent of asking for sexual favours. No part of this complaint has been reflected in my report.

Her complaint that her minor son was thrashed by the ASI was also not noticed by me in my report. I did however notice that after everything was over a chocolate was offered by the ASI to the child. My observation in the last paragraph of first page that "IC Kanksa police station intervened ....." is based on what was disclosed to me by the lady constables and others who claimed to have been present at that moment. It is true that whatever they said that was based on their memory. They did not have any thing in writing with them as to what had happened way back on 13 October 2019.

Shown the observation that "there was no other alternative, duty officer..... arrested the male members under section 151 CRPC with a view to prevent any untoward incident". This observation was made solely on the basis of GD entry 549 which is annexure C to my report. Shown the statement of the concerned ASI Manas Kumar Dolui. After going through the statement I am of the opinion the arrest was not necessary. I find from the records annexed to my report that both bail bond and PR bond were obtained from the arrestees before their release which is most irregular. The arrestees should have been released only on the basis of P R bonds. I find from the statement of the concerned ASI that bail bonds were furnished by a surety who is a frequenter of the concerned police station.

The recording that "Mrs Kahan Singh Rajpurohit has attested the police action and also expressing....." is incorrect. I wanted to rely on the statement of her husband.

Any certificate or attestation of the activity of the police by any sufferer made to the police is of no significance in law. I knew this when I obtained the statement from the husband of the petitioner.

Even in that statement he has stated that "on that day the GD officer Manas Dalui grossly misbehaved with my wife and put me in the lock up without assigning any reason. We were both highly shocked and suffered immense mental agony"

It is true that I did not examine the ASI Manas Kumar Dalui as regards allegations against him.

Sri Srimanta Kumar Bandopadyay,

It is not a fact that in order to protect/save the ASI Manas Kumar Dalui from the rigours of the law I furnished an incorrect report giving clean chit to the said ASI.

My statements have correctly been recorded hereinabove.

Sri Srimanta Kumar Bandopadhyay  
Sri Srimanta Kumar Bandopadyay. 08/11/2021

Statement is recorded  
in presence of me,  
Registrar  
W.B. Human Rights Commission  
08/11/2021

Received in original  
NOE Bank, which was  
produced before in  
Commission on 2/11/2021,  
as per addl kind order  
dt. 1-11-2021.  
08/11/2021


File No. 581/25/25/2020

8<sup>th</sup> November 2021.

The statement of Sri Manash Kumar Dalui ASI and Sri Srimanta Kumar Bandopadhyay, ACP were recorded Ms Saswati Sweta samanta, ACP did not turn up. The proceeding as against her is concluded ex-parte.

The hearing is concluded. The final order is reserved.

The original GD Vol-21 produced by the C.P on 03/11/2021 is returned to the ACP Sri Bandopadhyay.



Chairperson.

WEST BENGAL HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION  
KOLKATA



Case Number 581/25/25/2020  
Diary Number 403/CR/2020  
Commission Justice G.C. Gupta

Action Date 30/04/2020  
Due Date 25/08/2020  
Completion Date 03/02/2021

Action Notice Issued(NOT)

Authority THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE  
Address ASANSOL-DURGAPUR POLICE COMMISSIONERATE, PO.ASANSOL,  
DIST.BURDWAN., PASHCHIM BARDHMAN, WEST BENGAL

Complainant SANTOSH KANWAR RAJPUROHIT  
Address CANELPAR, NEW KANKSA ROAD, PANAGARH BAZAR, P.S- KANKSA PO-  
PANAGARH W/BURDWAN 713148

District and State PASHCHIM BARDHMAN , WEST BENGAL

Victim SANTOSH KANWAR RAJPUROHIT  
Address CANELPAR, NEW KANKSA ROAD, PANAGARH BAZAR, P.S- KANKSA PO-  
PANAGARH W/BURDWAN 713148

District and State PASHCHIM BARDHMAN , WEST BENGAL

**PROCEEDING**

CALL FOR A REPORT FROM THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, ASANSOL-  
DURGAPUR POLICE COMMISSIONERATE.